DOI: 10.1515/afmnai-2015-0025

UDC: 579.86:616-097"20"

Review article

## Staphylococcus aureus: Immunopathogenesis and Human Immunity

Biljana Miljković-Selimović<sup>1, 3</sup>, Marina Dinić<sup>1,3</sup>, Jovan Orlović<sup>2</sup>, Tatjana Babić<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Niš, Faculty of Medicine, Serbi <sup>2</sup>University of Niš, Faculty of Medicine, PhD student, Serbia <sup>3</sup>Public Health Institute, Serbia

#### **SUMMARY**

Considering a large number of pathogen factors that enable high virulence of a microorganism such as *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), it is essential to see them through the continuous adaptation to the newly acquired mechanisms of the host immune response and efforts to overcome these, allowing the bacteria a perfect ecological niche for growth, reproduction, and location of new hosts. Past efforts to create a vaccine that would provide effective protection against infections caused by *S. aureus* remained without success. The reasons for this stem from the outstanding adaptability skills of this microorganism to almost all environmental conditions, the existence of a numerous virulence factors whose mechanisms of action are not well known, as well as insufficient knowledge of the immune response to *S. aureus* infections. This review article deals with this issue from another perspective and emphasizes actual knowledge on virulence factors and immune response to *S. aureus*.

Key words: Staphylococcus aureus, virulence factors, immunity

Corresponding author: **Jovan Orlović** e-mail: jomj12@yahoo.com

#### **INTRODUCTION**

*S. aureus* was discovered by Alexander Ogston (1844 - 1929), a Scottish surgeon in 1880, as the major cause of pus: "My delight may be conceived when there were revealed to me beautiful tangles, tufts and chains of round organisms in great numbers, which stood out clear and distinct among the pus cells and debris...", but its "godfather" was Anton J. Rosenbach (1842 – 1923), also a surgeon, a German, who isolated two strains of staphylococci in 1884, which he named after the pigmented colonies (1).

Staphylococcus aureus (old Greek: staphyle – "grape" and kokkos – "granule"; From Latin aureus – "golden"), Gram-positive cocci (GPC), is probably one of the most important pathogenic species because of its natural virulence, that is, the ability to cause a variety of life-threatening infections, as well as the adaptive capacity to different environmental conditions (2). An average of the mortality rate of *S. aureus* bacteremia is still 20-40%, despite the availability of effective antibiotics (3). As the leading cause of nosocomial infections, and occasionally the cause of infections in seriously ill outpatients, *S. aureus* raises the possibility of isolation of methicillin-resistant staphylococci (4).

S. aureus is a ubiquitous microorganism that resides in the surrounding area, animals, and as a colonizer of the superficial regions of the skin and mucosa in adults it occurs in 20% to 40% of cases. The other sites of colonization include intertriginous areas of the skin, perineum, axilla, and vagina. Although these organisms constitute part of the normal human microflora, under certain conditions, they can cause significant opportunistic infections (5). The individual risk factors of contracting severe infections caused by *S*. aureus can be a disturbance in leukocyte chemotaxis (congenital origin, such as the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, Down syndrome, and Job syndrome) or acquired risk factors (such as diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis); defect in opsonization caused by antibodies (hypogammaglobulinaemia); disruption of intracellular killing of bacteria during phagocytosis (in chronic granulomatous disease); skin injuries (burns, surgical wounds, eczemas); the presence of foreign (sutures, intravenous catheters, plastic materials); other infectious agents, especially viruses (such as influenza); chronic non-communicable diseases (malignancy, alcoholism and heart disease).

Under the above mentioned conditions, *S. aureus* can cause various infectious processes starting from

relatively mild skin infections to systemic lifethreatening diseases. Skin infections may appear in the form of folliculitis, impetigo, furuncle and carbuncle. S. aureus is often isolated from infected surgical wounds that are the cause of the development of systemic infections. Staphylococcal bronchopneumonia is usually seen in the elderly population, and it is associated with viral pneumonia as a predisposing factor. Malignant disease is considered important risk factor for developing S. aureus bacteremia (6). S. aureus is able to disseminate to distant sites in the host provoking endocarditis, osteomyelitis, and pyogenic arthritis and to form metastatic abscesses in the skin, subcutaneous tissue, lungs, liver, kidneys, and brain. Staphylococcal meningitis occurs in patients with an abnormality of the central nervous system that is caused by trauma, surgical procedure, malignancy, or hydrocephalus. This microorganism is the second leading cause of meningitis in patients with ventriculoperitoneal shunt (7). S. aureus can be the cause of peritonitis in patients on CAPD (continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis) (8). Staphylococcal toxins are also responsible for staphylococcal epidermal necrolysis (staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome) and toxic shock syndrome (9). Additionally, production of staphylococcal enterotoxins in food can lead to food poisoning because they are resistant to acidic stomach pH.

#### **VIRULENCE FACTORS**

S. aureus virulence factors are numerous. They can be classified as parts of bacterial cells included in pathogenesis and substances that bacteria excrete into the environment. Structures of bacterial cell involved in pathogenesis are: capsule, protein A, and teichoic acid. Also, nearly the the all strains of S. aureus excrete a group of exstracellular products such as exoproteins: nucleases, proteases, lipases, hyaluronidase, and collagenase, as well as exotoxins:  $\alpha$ -hemolysin,  $\beta$ hemolysin, y-hemolysin, leukocidin, and Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL)(10). Their ability to produce β-lactamases provides an efficient defense against antimicrobial drugs. Very important feature of S. aureus is its ability to multiply with only few synthesizing enzymes necessary for growth. That ability which enables surviving in unfavorable conditions unfortunately lead to the loss of enzymes' machinery necessary for the synthesis of virulence factors and resistance to antimicrobials (11).

Also, there are nucleotide signaling pathways that allow rapid alteration of cellular physiology to promote S. aureus survival. This is of particular importance when bacteria are faced with unfavorable environmental conditions. In that case, it is necessary to acquire the alternative sugar sources and to adapt during stresses such as amino acid deprivation, carbon source starvation, fatty acid depletion, or osmotic stress. In S. aureus, the main role have small nucleotides such as cyclic diadenosine monophosphate pentaphosphate (c-di-AMP) (p)ppGpp. Examination of the transcriptional profile of *S. aureus* with under stress conditions provides evidence that high levels of c-di-AMP lead to an activation of the stringent response through a RelA/SpoT homologue (RSH) enzyme-dependent increase in the (p)ppGpp levels. Also, this activation is indirect as c-di-AMP does not interact directly with the RSH protein (12).

#### **Bacterial cell structures**

Cell wall of *S. aureus* as a Gram-positive bacterium contains glycopolymers including wall teichoic acid (WTA) that is a part of peptidoglycan and capsular polysaccharide (13).

#### Capsule

Some strains of *S. aureus* produce exopolysaccharide that can prevent polymorphonuclear cells to destroy microorganisms by phagocytosis. This exopolysaccharide can be found in strains of S. aureus that cause infections in people with pacemakers, peritoneal catheters or intravenous catheters, and can be demonstrated using in vitro methods. The exopolysaccharide-producing strains are called Smith strains (14). Exopolysaccharide can accelerate the bacterial adherence to both a host cell and plastic materials. Based on capsular polysaccharide immunotype, S. aureus clinical isolates are classified into eight types, and 70% to 80% belong to serotype 5 or 8 (15). These two capsular serotypes, mainly serotype 8, can be associated with other virulence factors of *S*. aureus, such as toxigenesis, leading to toxic shock (toxic shock like syndrome, TSLS). The most of oxacillin-resistant S. aureus isolates belong to capsular serotype 5 (16, 17). Capsular polysaccharide serotypes 5 and 8 are also found in many S. aureus isolates taken from animals.

#### Wall teichoic acid (WTA)

WTA of *S. aureus* was originally described as 4-O- $\beta$ -N-acetyl-n-glucosaminyl-n-ribitol units joined by 1,5-phosphodiester linkages (18). It is glycopolymer that forms peptidoglycan by covalent bonding. *S. aureus* WTA, a cell wall glycopolymer, can be defined as ribitol phosphate substituted with  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -ON-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) and D-alanine, which can be recognized by serum anti WTA IgG and mannose-binding lectin (MBL), important host defense factors for host specific and non-specific immune response, respectively (13).

This polymer whose molecules (40 - 50) are comprised of repetitive ribitol-phosphate units, allows *S. aureus* to adhere in a specific way to the mucous membranes, and thus to the nasal mucous membrane. WTA is responsible for resistance to lysosomes and antimicrobial peptides as well as for evading host immune response (13). Besides giving firmness and elasticity of the cell wall, both peptidoglycan and WTA have other biological activities: complement activation, inhibition of chemotaxis, and stimulation of antibody production (5).

During an immune response, inflammatory chemokine receptors on the macrophage surface (chemokine, CC chemokine receptors), CCR1, CCR2, and CCR5, are involved in the accumulation of blood monocytes in the infected tissue. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) on the surface cells allow identification of pathogenic microorganism by the accumulated cells. In the case of Gram-positive bacteria such as S. aureus, one of these pattern recognition receptors (PRR), the Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2), is responsible for the recognition of bacterial cell-wall components and is essential for protective innate immune responses. Triggering TLR2 activation can induce diverse cell responses through different signaling pathways. The data indicate that TLR2, after contact with S. aureus lipoteichoic acid (LTA), negatively regulates the activity of monocytes through CCR1, CCR2, and CCR5, helping in chemokinedependent down regulation and ensuring molecular mechanisms that inhibit monocytes migration after S. aureus identification (19).

#### Protein A

The cell wall of *S. aureus* contains a unique protein A that has the ability to bind to the Fc region of immunoglobulin G (IgG). The protein A is bound to the peptidoglycan of the bacterial cell wall, but it is released into the culture medium during growth. This protein inhibits microorganism opsonization and phagocytosis by polymorphonuclear cells and the complement activation (5).

Besides that, the protein is an immunogen, stimulates B lymphocytes, and is responsible for the formation of antibodies that are found in patients with severe *S. aureus* infections (20).

# EXOPROTEINS, EXOTOXINS AND β-LACTAMASE

#### **Exoproteins**

*S. aureus* produces several enzymes that may contribute to its virulence: catalase, coagulase, fibrinolysin, hyaluronidases, lipases, phosphatidyl inositol - specific phospholipase C.

#### Catalase

This microorganism produces catalase that inactivates the toxic hydrogen peroxide and free radicals formed under the action of myeloperoxidase in the process of phagocytosis after ingestion has taken place. Catalase can be considered as a one of the main virulence factors, since its activity in bacterial cell protects intraphagocytic microbes by destroying hydrogen peroxide produced by the phagocyte cells. In addition, good correlation is established between staphylococcal catalase activity and mouse lethality (21). In human, it is shown that S. aureus catalase in children receiving pneumococcal vaccine combat for the same niche since S. pneumoniae produces H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. S. aureus catalase contributes to the survival of this pathogen in the presence of S. pneumoniae both in vitro and in in vivo (22).

#### Coagulse

Both free and bound coagulase (also called the clumping factor) can coat bacterial cell surface with fibrin to make it resistant to opsonization and phagocytosis. During infection, *S. aureus* secretes two types of coagulase (Coa) and von Willebrand factor binding protein (vWbp) that, after binding with fibrinogen and prothrombin, create fibrin clot that protects the microorganism and enables the development of infection. The genetic basis of these may vary allowing the classification of different types. It has been shown that antibodies affect the variable part of coagulase allowing the acquisition of the type-specific immune system, thereby disabling *S. aureus* 

protection, that eventually provides protection from infection. The scientists were able to develop the vaccine that is expected to provide protection against different types of *S. aureus* coagulase by combining variable parts of the isolates from North America and creating the Coa hybrids and vWbp proteins (23).

#### **Fibrinolysin**

Fibrinolysin can degrade fibrin thus facilitating the spread of infection to the surrounding tissue. Plasminogen and C3 / C3b can bind simultaneously to bacterial surface proteins, and these are immunoglobulin-binding protein (Sbi) and extracellular fibrinogen-binding protein (Efb). Accumulated plasminogen remains accessible to the human activator (uPa) or bacterial plasminogen activator staphylokinase (SAK), which enables its conversion into active plasmin. Plasmin bound to Sbi or Efb degrades and inactivates the C3 and C3b. Degradation of C3a results in inactivation of its antimicrobial activity. The proteins originating from S. aureus (Sbi and Efb) are believed to inhibit the progression of the complement cascade, opsonization, antimicrobial activity and inflammatory reaction (24).

#### Hyaluronidase

Hyaluronidase cleaves the  $\beta$ -1,4 glycosidic bond of glycosaminoglycans – GAGs in intercellular matrix of tissue, allowing the microorganisms to spread in the immediate surroundings. Investigation on experimental animals showed that *S. aureus* hyaluronidase is a CodY-regulated virulence factor. Mice infected with mutant strains carrying mutation of the CodY binding box exhibited a 4-log-unit reduction in bacterial burden in their lungs, reduced lung pathology and increased levels of pulmonary hyaluronic acid, compared to mice infected with the wild-type, parent strain. These results can give insight into the possible reduction of the strain virulence, especially in patients with lung infection (25).

#### Lipase

Lipase is an enzyme that allows the *S. aureus* to spread through the skin and subcutaneous tissue, causing chronic furunculosis. Although the production of the lipase varies among different sources, especially non-human, in one investigation

86% of the isolates from human wounds were positive for lipase production, indicating its importance in tissue damage (26).

#### Phosphatidylinositol-Specific Phospholipase C

Phosphatidylinositol-Specific Phospholipase C (PI-PLC) is found in adults with respiratory distress syndrome and disseminated intravascular coagulation. Tissues affected by this enzyme become much more sensitive both to the complement components and to the products formed during the reaction of the complement activation. In one investigation of MRS, potential links between *S. aureus* responses to the host innate immune system and to oxidative stress were identified and supported the PI-PLC contribution to the pathogenesis of *S. aureus* infections (27).

#### **Exotoxins**

S.~aureus toxins exhibit several biological activities. S.~aureus produces exotoxins that possess cytolytic activity. Cytolytic toxins (Cytolysin) form  $\beta$ -cylindrical pore in the plasma membrane causing a leakage in the cell and thus lyse the target cell (15). S.~aureus secretes several cytolysins, including  $\alpha$ -hemolysin,  $\beta$ -hemolysin,  $\gamma$ -hemolysin, leukocidin, and Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) (10).

Alpha-toxin ( $\alpha$ -toxin) expresses cytocidal activities on a wider range of cell types, including human polymorphonuclear cells, and it is able to lyse the red blood cells of several different animal species. The toxin causes necrosis of the dermis when administered subcutaneously, and for animals, it is lethal when injected intravenously. It is also a powerful neurotoxin. This toxin is responsible for the formation of the hemolytic zone around a colony of some S. aureus types on a blood agar supplemented with sheep blood.

Beta-toxin ( $\beta$ -toxin) is a neutral sphingomyelinase that affects different cells. The activity of this hemolysin is temperature-dependent (28). *S. aureus* Gamma toxin ( $\gamma$ -toxin) belongs to the group of pores forming toxins (PFTs) and causes lysis of various cells. In contrast with the  $\alpha$ -hemolysin, for the poreforming activity, the  $\gamma$ -toxin requires the presence of two polypeptides entitled slow (S) and fast (F) on the basis of their electrophoretic mobility. There are various *S. aureus* two-component PFTs that exhibit

significant similarity to the amino acid composition (28)

Delta-toxin ( $\delta$ -toxin) acts primarily as a surfactant, and also is able to activate adenylyl cyclase causing the production of c-AMP. The  $\delta$ -hemolysin is a small amphipathic (has both hydrophobic and hydrophilic ends) peptide with  $\alpha$ - helical structure consisting of 26 amino acids. The toxin causes cell lysis by three different mechanisms: by binding to the cell via formation of transmembrane pores; the binding causes destabilization of the cytoplasmic membrane; in high concentrations acts as a detergent that dissolves the membrane (28).

Some of these toxins are classified in the phenol soluble modulins (PSMs) peptide class produced by both S. epidermidis and *S. aureus* (29). Furthermore, the PSMs are classified into two families based on their size. In the first are those of up to 26 amino acids (AA) (PSM $\alpha$ ) and the second includes other proteins up to 40 AA (PSM $\beta$ 1 and PSM $\beta$ 2) (28).

Leukocidin stands out as an exotoxin that is directly toxic to the cell membrane of human polymorphonuclear, causing degranulation of the cytoplasm and lysis. It consists of two components that act synergistically causing severe granulocytopenia in experimental animals. This toxin also forms pores that modify the permeability of the cell membrane to potassium and various cations (30).

PVL is a two-component cytotoxin. This virulence factor is associated with serious illnesses (31). Synthesis of PVL is encoded by the genes lukS-PV, lukF-PV (integrated into S. aureus chromosome, LukED, and LukGH (synonym LukAB) (32). The structure and method of operation are similar to other binary toxins, as well as the mechanism of pore creation (33). Each water soluble component binds sequentially to the cell surface, as a monomer, before the oligomerization with four S components and possibly also with four F components. The newly created hetero-octamer forms a transmembrane pore in the plasma membrane leading to lysis of cell. These toxins are largely secreted into the external environment. Protein LukGH/AB can either be secreted into the environment, or be the one of the dominant surface protein of S. aureus in the late exponential phase of growth (32).

Perhaps a moment of binding to the cell surface is of importance when it comes to leukotoxin, the moment in which it binds to immune cells, that is, when they are in direct contact with bacterium, as it is in the phagocytosis (28).

The importance of leukotoxin binding to the cell surface might be in its binds to immune cells when they are in direct contact with bacterium, as it is in the phagocytosis (28).

Exfoliatin or epidermolytic toxin is produced by certain strains of staphylococci. There are two exfoliatins labeled ET-A and ET-B, each of them with a relative molecular mass of 24kDa (5). These two molecules are immunologically and biochemically different but share the same biological activity. ET-A is a thermostable protein with the genes encoded on the chromosome, while ET-B is thermolabile and originates from plasmid. These proteins have a proteolytic activity and separate glycosaminoglycanic matrix of epidermis, resulting in separation of intraepithelial cell connections of the stratum granulosum (granular layer) (33). Strains producing either one or both of these toxins are responsible for scalded skin syndrome. Both toxins are antigens and stimulate production of host-protective antibodies.

Enterotoxin, marked by big Latin letters A, B, C, D, and E, are thermostable and responsible for the clinical presentation of staphylococcal food poisoning. The exact mechanism of action of these enterotoxins is not clear, but it is known to accelerate peristalsis. Consumption of food contaminated by enterotoxins created during the propagation of staphylococci result in diarrhea within next 2-8 hours. Their presence can be proven by immunodiagnostic methods as well as molecular biology techniques (34).

Toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1), leads, as its name says, to toxic shock syndrome, which was first described by Todd and his associates in 1978, and soon after that, in 1981, two groups of researchers isolated this unique S. aureus toxin in these patients and announced its structure (35,14). The toxin is denoted as TSST-1. Although this toxin has a wide range of biological activities, its role in the formation of toxic shock syndrome remains unclear. Toxic shock syndrome is a multisystem disease characterized by: hypotension, erythrodermia, fever. vomiting, diarrhea, renal failure, headache and conjunctivitis. The disease was first observed in women using hyper-absorptive tampons during their periods. Afterwards, the disease was found in both sexes in patients with staphylococcal abscesses, osteomyelitis, after surgery wound infections, and post viral pneumonia (9).

TSST-1, staphylococcal enterotoxin and exfoliatin can act as superantigens. Superantigens do not present the professional antigen-presenting cells

(APC) such as mononuclear cells, do not bind to the receptor sites of cells (T cell receptor TCR) on CD4 + T lymphocytes, but to the  $V\beta$  domain of the TCR, and directly activate a large number of nonspecific T lymphocytes clones, leading to the release of large quantities of TNF into the circulation along with emergence of the systemic complications and shock (36).

#### **Beta-lactamase**

Drug and multidrug resistance mechanisms have recently been considered as virulence factors in pathogenic bacteria. The emergence of community-associated methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (CA-MRSA) infections in individuals with no predisposing conditions suggests an increased pathogenicity of the bacterium, which may be related to acquisition of novel genetic elements. *S. aureus* has developed the various strategies to evade obstacles laid out by the human host during colonization and infection. There are many controversies related to MRSA since acquisition of the novel genes could explain the increased incidence and severity of CA-MRSA diseases (37).

Numerous studies indicate that *S. aureus* produces at least three different types of  $\beta$ -lactamases. The production of these enzymes may be inducible (they produced only in the presence of  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics) or constitutive (continuously produced), making these organisms resistant against  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics. The synthesis of the enzyme encoded by genes is mainly found on the plasmids that often carry the gene for resistance to several other antibiotics such as erythromycin and tetracycline (5).

Most β-lactamases belong to the family of serine peptidase. These enzymes are produced by some bacteria and are responsible for the resistance to β-lactam antibiotics, although the cephalosporins are relatively resistant to their action. Beta-lactamases catalyze the hydrolysis and opening of the  $\beta$ -lactam ring resulting in its deactivation. Beta-lactamases can be divided according to the mode of action and chemical (molecular) structure. According to the mode of action, that is, based on the enzyme substrate specificity, β-lactamases were divided into four groups. The first group includes molecular class C cephalosporinases that are not inhibited by clavulanic acid (38). The second group that can be divided into several sub-groups (2a-2f) includes clavulanic acidinhibitable penicillinases and cephalosporinases. By

the chemical structure they belong to the molecular class A, with the exception of sub-2d that belongs to both classes A and D. Group 3 consists of molecular class B zinc metalloenzymes that are not inhibited by clavulanic acid. The fourth group contains penicillinases that, for now, are not classified, whose activity is not inhibited by clavulanic acid (38).

Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) are divided into: class A beta-lactamases, TEM SHV, CTX-M, class D beta-lactamases, OXA and other beta-lactamases (PER, VEB, GES, and IBC). For the long time, OXA beta-lactamases are known as relatively rare but plasmid-localized, capable of hydrolyzing oxacillin. These enzymes are responsible for ampicillin and cephalothin resistance, and have a pronounced hydrolytic activity against oxacillin and cloxacillin. They are poorly inhibited by clavulanic acid (39).

## IMMUNOPATHOGENESIS OF S. AUREUS INFECTION

Bacterial surface molecule identifies a series of similar receptors located on the surface of the host cell and whose role is the recognition of pathogenic bacteria (pathogen recognition receptors, PRRs). When it comes to the recognition of lectin components, these receptors are mannose-binding lectin (MBL). MBL binds mannose functioning both as opsonin and lectin-mediated complement activator. Activation of classical pathway of the complement along with anaphylatoxin formation results in the generation of proinflammatory signals and piling up of phagocytes. It is believed that the immune complexes induce phagocytosis via of S. aureus cell-surface Fc receptors (FCRs). Considering that S. aureus has a thick peptidoglycan layer, opsonization by serum antibodies is necessary to prevent phagocytosis of S. aureus. MBL deficiency on the surface of susceptible cells increases susceptibility to S. aureus infection in laboratory animals. In this way, the phagocytosis plays an important role in host defense, particularly against S. aureus MRSA strains (13).

#### **Immune evasion**

Important role in immunity to microbes are the mechanisms of immune evasion. In *S. aureus*, several proteins take part in those mechanisms: staphylokinase (encoded by sak), chemotaxis

inhibitory protein (chp), and staphylococcal complement inhibitory protein (scn), as well as particular enterotoxin encoded by genes such as sea, sep, sek, and seq (40). It seems likely that the expression of superantigens promotes the survival of S. aureus in the host by undermining the neutrophil response, leading to formation of a protective niche. In response to antibiotic usage in livestock farming, as well as human therapy of severe disease and gene accumulation in nosocomial strains, adaptation to the animal and human host is often accompanied by the acquisition of resistance genes such as tet(M) and mecA (41).

After the adherence to a target cell, *S. aureus* can be found within professional or non-professional phagocytes, such as epithelial or endothelial cells, both within membrane and cytoplasm, thanks to the surface structures and penetration into tissue or circulation.

The bacteria can escape into the cytoplasm due to the activities of  $\delta$ -toxin or synergistic action of PSM $\beta$  and  $\beta$ -toxin. The explanation for the presence of *S. aureus* in the phagocytes of patients with cystic fibrosis is probably that the  $\alpha$ -hemolysin is included in the lysis of the phagosomal membrane (42).

Intracellular bacteria may also lead to dissemination within migrating phagocytes. Further, S.~aureus lyses host cells with a cytolytic toxins. Phenol-soluble modulins (PSM) comprise a genus-specific family of cytolytic peptides which are implied in killing polymorphonuclear leukocytes. In non-professional and professional phagocytes, PSM $\alpha$  mutants are unable to escape from phagosomes. Fortunately, offspring of S.~aureus producing PSM $\beta$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\beta$ -toxins, and Panton Valentine leukotoxin escaped as efficient as the parental strains (43).

#### Local immunization or infection

Recruits of neutrophils from the blood to lymph nodes occur in waves where neutrophils infiltrate the medulla and interfollicular areas. Neutrophils interact with B cells and plasma cells, leading to production of antigen-specific IgG and IgM. In response to a local bacterial challenge, mobilized neutrophils dampen the early humoral response in the lymph node (44).

 $\beta$ -hemolysin inhibits IL-8 whose main activity is the accumulation of neutrophils and this is the important mechanism for immune evasion. The inhibition of IL-8 which is synthesized by endothelial

cells leads to the reduction in neutrophil migration through the endothelial barrier. This fact indicates that  $\beta$ -hemolysin can act as one of the chemotaxis inhibitors produced by *S. aureus* (45).

#### Virulence gene expression

*S. aureus* gene expression is under the regulation of the surrounding bacterial milleue, protein synthesis, mRNA up or down regulation.

Environmental factors such as nutrient availability, temperature, pH, osmolarity, and oxygen tension have the greatest potential to influence the expression of virulence factors. Protein synthesis can influence virulence gene expression, too. Therefore, virulence gene regulators could affect the expression of target genes directly, by binding to their promoters, or indirectly, via other regulators (10). Several global regulatory loci determine the production of S. aureus virulence factors: accessory gene regulator (agr), which controls at least 15 S. aureus products such as extracellular toxins and enzymes: alpha-, beta-, and delta-hemolysin, leucocidin, lipase, hyaluronate lyase, and proteases. For those reasons, agr system of S. aureus is an important virulence determinant in the induction and progression of septic arthritis experimental animals (46). One investigation emphasized that arl positively regulates capsule production at the transcriptional level primarily through an mgrA-dependent pathway. The sigB gene S. aureus mutant was deficient in clumping factor, coagulase, and pigment synthesis, indicating its possible contribution in virulence regulation although in three models on experimental animals, the mutant showed no reduction in virulence (47).

Important feature of *S. aureus* gene regulation is that several regulator proteins can influence one target virulence gene. That "cross talk" is to ensure that the specific gene is expressed only when it is necessary and conditions are favorable (10). E.g., *agr* negatively regulates the expression of *spa*, which encodes SpA protein by suppressing the expression of its activator, sarS (10).

Regulation of virulence on messenger ribonucleic acids (RNAs) mRNA level can lead to down or up-regulation of several virulence genes. In *S. aureus*, there are expression patterns of at least 250 different regulatory RNAs. So far, physiological functions are described in only few of them. One of them, RNAIII, combines quorum sensing with virulence gene regulation. RNAIII acts as a messenger

RNA (mRNA), encoding the  $\delta$ -haemolysin peptide. Its regulatory functions are: participating in activation of translation of a-haemolysin and repressesing the translation of several virulence factors and toxins reducing early expression on virulence. One of RNAIII target is the SpA immune evasion molecule, whose expression is downregulated at transcriptional and translational levels. Small pathogenicity island RNA D (SprD), is a horizontally acquired pathogenicity island from the converting phage that is a repository for many toxins, adherence and invasion factors, superantigens and secretion systems. However, SprD downregulates translational expression of the immune evasion molecule. SprD may significantly contribute to disease incidence in a mouse model of staphylococcal infection (48).

#### **Biofilm formation**

Biofilm synthesis enables the survival of *S. aureus* and other pathogens in harsh conditions. The locus termed ica, described first in *S. epidermidis*, is responsible for biofilm formation in *S. aureus*, too. This locus was found widespread present in biofilm producing *S. aureus* strains responsible for catheter and implant infections (49).

Chemical compounds of the main exopolysaccharide component of biofilm matrix in S. aureus and S. epidermidis clinical strains are similar and some of them are even identical e.g. poly-β(1-6)-Nacetylglucosamine (PIA/PNAG) (50). It seems that many bacteria, among them Gram-negative ones, produce the very same biofilm matrix such as Escherichia coli, Yersinia pestis, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bordetella spp., Xenorhabdus nematophila, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, and Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae, suggesting a convergent evolution of some adaptation mechanisms. In S. aureus, there are alternative forms of biofilm that are PIA-independent. The observation that a minor proportion of S. aureus strains can form biofilm even in the absence of the ica locus and that certain strains carrying such locus continue anyway to produce biofilm even after deletion of the locus suggested the existence of ica-independent pathways (51). Also, surface proteins such as SasG, SasC, Protein A, and two fibronectin-binding proteins, have been documented to contribute to biofilm formation in S. aureus. However, S. aureus clinical strains ivolved in severe infections are all generally endowed with the ica locus. The existence of alternative mechanisms

of biofilm formation provide better adaptation of *S. aureus* to the surrounding milleu and ensures the mechanisms for survival, in order to colonize and establish the infection in host tissues, while evading the immune response and the effects of antibiotic treatments.

Against the biofilm formation in *S. aureus*, human apply several approaches: (a) biofilm disaggregating enzymes that attack the PNAG (Dispersin B)); (b) the extracellular DNA (DNase I); (c) proteinase k(d) quorum quenching (QQ), intercellular bacterial communications, with the aim of artificially inducing bacteria to assume sessile phenotype; (e) the prostaglandins reduce biofilm formation and stimulate clearance of the microorganism (52).

In S. aureus, some of newly detected phenol modulines (PSMs), family of amphipathic,  $\alpha$ -helical peptides, are the key virulence determinants, especially in invasive S. aureus diseases. Their role is to facilitate neutrophil lysis after biofilm structuring phagocytosis, the dissemination of biofilm-associated infection. PSMs possess the surfactant properties which enable the growth on epithelial surfaces. They are able for strict and direct control by quorum sensing. It is possible that targeting PSMs for anti-staphylococcal drug development may be a promising approach to overcome the problems associated with widespread antibiotic resistance in staphylococci (53).

#### Quorum sensing

Quorum sensing (QS) system is on signal molecules often referred to as pheromones or autoinducers. The QS system enables bacteria to sense their own density in the milieu and modify their phenotype accordingly. This involves ruling the expression of distinct traits for the specific cellular phase of growth and/or milieu colonization. It seems that QS play an important role in surviving of S. aureus and causing infection. QS in S. aureus is involved in biofilm formation causing severe diseases. There is evidence that accessory gene regulator (agr) quorum-sensing system plays an important role in QS. Generally, agr expression enhanced biofilm formation. Expression of the agr quorum sensing cascade induces S. aureus to synthesize an extensive battery of exoproducts: enzymes, hemolysins and immunomodulators essential to its ability to disrupt intintercelullar matrix through and spread to the host tissues causing disease (54).

In *S. aureus* biofilm, under some conditions, influenced by envirnmental factors, cells changed their behavior. Those strains were very sensitive to rifampin but not to oxacillin. Biofilm expression of an agr-dependent reporter occurs in patches within cell clusters and oscillats with time. Detachment of cells expressing agr from biofilms may have important implications to disease severity and outcome especially with concomitant antimicrobial therapy (55).

#### Vaccines

Among all bacterial vaccines still missing, S. aureus is probably one of the most difficult to develop for a number of reasons. So far, there is no clear evidence of natural protective immunity against S. aureus, and there are no correlates of protection established yet. Vaccination attempts against S. aureus have not been successful so far and there must be numerous important reasons. One of them is the synthesis of a substantial number of molecules that take part in pathogenesis. Further, S. aureus possesses a battery of molecules responsible for diminishing the immune response; it also enables the immune evasion, which not only suppresses immunity but also undermines the majority of attempts to create effective vaccines. Third reason which should not be neglected is a large proportion of at-risk population which includes immune-compromised subjects (e.g., HIV, cancer and hemodialysis patients, patients with malignancy of hemopoethic cells and application of invasive therapy such as chemotherapy immunomodulators, patients with metabolic disorders such as diabetes mellitus) and aging of the human population in developed countries (56).

Taking into account these considerations, strategy to develop a *S. aureus* vaccine could be the selection of antigens with different roles in pathogenesis, increasing the reliability and predictive value by using different mouse models and functional assays, and including an adjuvant that could elicit high antibody titers and potentiate vaccine efficacy (56). Perhaps the inhibition of binding to human host cells or vaccine against a common *S. aureus* antigens could lead to the resolution.

Ko et al. (2013) described a novel mechanism by which *S. aureus* can prevent uptake by phagocytic immune cells. Secreted *S. aureus* protein extracellular fibrinogen binding protein (Efb) generates a 'capsule'-like shield around the bacterial surface through a dual

interaction with the plasma proteins complement C3b and fibrinogen. The Efb-dependent fibrinogen shield masks important opsonic molecules like C3b and antibodies from binding to phagocyte receptors, since opsonizing antibodies may not function in the presence of this anti-phagocytic shield (57).

#### **THERAPY**

Therapy of S. aureus infections includes anbacterial drugs and surgical interventions. However, increasing resistance of S. aureus against recommended antibacterials, common isolation of MRSA in ICU, hospital environment and community diminish the effects of conservative approach. On the other hand, hidden location of infection, when finding efficient surgical approach, is a difficult task which may contribute to inefficient therapy. Therefore, including some immunomodulators can improve the outcome of systematic infection. It is observed that treatment with a combination of vasodilator prostaglandins, such as prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), and antibiotics can lead to a rapid recovery from osteomyelitis in immunocompetent host, without the need for orthopedic surgery (53).

#### **FUTURE PROSPECTS**

Having in mind that S. aureus is widespread bacteria adapted to different and diverse life conditions, it is not difficult to assume that S. aureus will not easily leave its leading position among human bacterial pathogens. Humans will continue to search for the efficient antibiotic or vaccine or for the mechanisms to diminish the S. aureus pathogenicity. In response to aggressive attitude, bacteria will try to develop and to improve the mechanisms of factors, gaining new pathogenicity improving efficiency of existing ones and diminishing and ameliorating human immune response in order to survive the resistance mechanisms of macroorganisms.

### References

- 1. Elek SD. Staphylococcus pyogenes and its Edinburgh: E. & S. relation to disease. Livingstone, 1959. p. 3.
- 2. Lowy F.D. Staphylococcus aureus infections. N Engl J Med 1998; 339:520-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199808203390806
- 3. CDC NNIS System: National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System Report, Data Summary from January 1992-June 2001, issued August 2001. Am J Infect Control 2001; 29:404-21.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mic.2001.119952
- 4. Diekema DJ, Pfaller MA, Schmitz FJ, et al. Partcipants Group. Survey of infections due to Staphylococcus species: frequency of occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility of isolates collected in the United States, Canada, Latin America, Europe, and the Western Pacific region for the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program, 1997–1999. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 32(Suppl. 2):S114-S32.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/320184
- 5. Wadvogel FA. Staphylococcus aureus (including toxic shock syndrome) In Mandell G.L., Bennett J.E., Dolin R (eds), Mandell, Douglas, and Benneth's Principles and Practice of infectious Diseases, 4<sup>th</sup>ed. New Yourk, Churchill-Livingstone, 1995, p. 1754-77.
- 6. Hartstein AI, Mulligan ME, Morthland VH, Kwok RY. Recurrent Staphylococcus aureus bacteriemia. J Clin Microbiol 1992; 30:670-4.
- 7. Kim JH, van der Horst C, Mulrow CD, Corey GR. Staphylococcus aureus meningitis: review of 28 cases. Rev Infect Dis 1989; 11:698-706. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/clinids/11.5.698
- 8. Pignatari A, Pfaller M, Hollis R, et al. colonization Staphylococcus aureus infection in patients on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. J Clin Microbiol 1990; 28:1898-902.
- 9. Bergdoll M.S., Schlievert P.M. Toxic shock syndrome toxin. Lancet 1984; 2:691. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(84)91241-8

- 10.Bien J, Sokolova O, Bozko P.Characterization of Virulence Factors of Staphylococcus aureus: Novel Function of Known Virulence Factors That Are Implicated in Activation of Airway Epithelial Proinflammatory. ResponseJ Pathog 2011:601905. doi: 10.4061/2011/601905. http://dx.doi.org/10.4061/2011/601905
- 11. Reed P, Atilano ML, Alves R, et al. Staphylococcus aureus Survives with a Minimal Peptidoglycan Synthesis Machine but Sacrifices Virulence and Antibiotic Resistance. PLoS Pathog 2015; 7;11:e1004891.
- 12. Corrigan RM, Bowman L, Willis AR, et al. Crosstalk between two nucleotide-signaling pathways in Staphylococcus aureus. J Biol Chem 2015; 290:5826-39.
- 13. Kurokawa K, Jung DJ, An JH, et al. Glycoepitopes of staphylococcal wall teichoic acid govern complement-mediated opsonophagocytosis via human serum antibody and mannosebinding lectin. J Biol Chem 2013; 288:30956-68.
- 14. Johne B, Jarp J, Haaheim LR Staphylococcus aureus exopolysaccharide in vivo demonstrated by immunomagnetic separation and electron microscopy. J Clin Microbiol 1989; 27:1631-5.
- 15. Boutonnier A, Nato F, Bouvet A, et al. Direct testing of blood cultures for detection of the serotype 5 and 8 capsular polysaccharides of Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol 1989; 27:989-93.
- 16. Branger C, Goullet P, Boutonnier A, Fournier JM. Correlation between esterase electrophoretic types and capsular polysaccharide type 5 and 8 among methicillin- susceptible and methicillinresistant strains of Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol 1990; 28:150-1.
- 17. Fournier JM, Bouvet A, Boutonnier A, et al. Predominance of capsular type 5 among oxacillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol 1987; 25:1932-3.
- 18. Sanderson AR, Strominger JL, Nathenson SG. Chemical structure of teichoic acid from

- Staphylococcus aureus, strain Copenhagen. J Biol Chem 1962; 237:3603-13
- 19. Fox JM, Letellier E, Oliphant CJ, Signoret N. TLR2-dependent pathway of heterologous down-modulation for the CC chemokine receptors 1, 2, and 5 in human blood monocytes. Blood 2011; 117:1851-60.
- 20. Kobayashi SD, DeLeo FR. Staphylococcus aureus Protein A Promotes Immune Suppression. MBio 2013; 4:e00764-13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00764-13
- 21. Mandell GL. Catalase, superoxide dismutase, and virulence of Staphylococcus aureus. In vitro and in vivo studies with emphasis on staphylococcal--leukocyte interaction. J Clin Invest 1975; 55:561-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI107963
- 22. Park B, Nizet V, Liu GY. Role of Staphylococcus aureus catalase in niche competition against Streptococcus pneumoniae. J Bacteriol 2008; 190:2275-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00006-08
- 23. McAdow M, DeDent AC, Emolo C, et al. Coagulases as Determinants of Protective Immune Responses against Staphylococcus aureus Dominique M. Missiakas, and Olaf Schneewind. Infect Immun 2012; 80:3389-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00562-12
- 24. Koch TK, Reuter M, Barthel D, et al. Staphylococcus aureus proteins Sbi and Efb recruit human plasmin to degrade complement C3 and C3b. PLoS One 2012; 7:e47638. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047638
- 25. Ibberson CB, Jones CL, Singh S, et al. Staphylococcus aureus Hyaluronidase Is a CodY-Regulated Virulence Factor. Infect Immun 2014; 82:4253-64. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01710-14
- 26. dos Santos Rodrigues JB, Pinto TS, de Oliveira CP, de Sousa Freitas FI, Vieira Pereira Mdo S, de Souza EL, de Siqueria-Júnior JP. Lipolytic activity of Staphylococcus aureus from human wounds, animals, foods, and food-contact surfaces in Brazil. J Infect Dev Ctries 2014; 8:1055-8.

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.3855/jidc.3697

- 27. White MJ, Boyd JM, Horswill AR, Nauseef WM. Phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C contributes to survival of Staphylococcus aureus USA300 in human blood and neutrophils. Infect Immun 2014; 82:1559-71.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01168-13
- 28. Vandenesch F, Lina, G, Henry T. Staphylococcus aureus Hemolysins, bi-component Leukocidins, and Cytolytic Peptides: A Redundant Arsenal of Membrane-Damaging Virulence Factors? Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2012; 2: 12.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00012
- 29. Queck SY, Khan BA, Wang R, Bach TH, Kretschmer D, Chen L, Kreiswirth BN, Peschel A, Deleo FR, Otto M. Mobile genetic elementencoded cytolysin connects virulence methicillin resistance in MRSA. PLoS Pathog 2009; 5:e1000533. doi: 10.1371.
- 30. Miles G, Cheley S, Braha O, Bayley H. The staphylococcal leukocidin bicomponent toxin forms large ionic channels. Biochemistry 2001; 40:8514-22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi0104540
- 31. Gillet Y, Issartel B, Vanhems P, et al. Association between Staphylococcus aureus strains carrying gene for Panton-Valentine leukocidin and highly necrotising pneumonia in immunocompetent patients. Lancet 2002; 359:
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07877-7
- 32. Ventura CL, Malachowa N, Hammer CH, et al. Identification of a novel Staphylococcus aureus two-component leukotoxin using cell surface proteomics. PLoS One 2010; 5:e11634. doi: 10.1371.
- 33. Guillet V, Roblin P, Werner S, Coraiola M, Menestrina G, Monteil H, Prévost G, Mourey L. Crystal structure of leucotoxin S component: new insight into the Staphylococcal beta-barrel pore-forming toxins. J Biol Chem 2004; 279:41028-37.
- 34. Edwin C. Quantitative determination of staphylococcal enterotoxin A by an enzymelinked immunoorbent assay using a combination

- of polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies and biotin-streptavidin interaction. J Clin Microbiol 1989; 27:1496-501.
- 35. Baddour LM, Barker LP, Christensen GD, Parisi JT, Simpson WA. Phenotypic variation of Staphylococcus epidermidis in infection of transvenous endocardial pacemaker electrodes. J Clin Microbiol 1990; 28:676-9.
- 36. Abas AK, Lichtman AH. Basic Immunology: Functions and disorders of the Immune System. 3th edition. Saunders, Elsevier Inc. Philadelphia, PA, 2009, p. 312.
- 37. Liu Y, Kong F, Zhang X, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from children with impetigo in China from 2003 to 2007 shows community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus to be uncommon and heterogeneous. Br J Dermatol 2009; 161:1347-50.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2009.09376.x

- 38. Mirović V. Antibiotici i osnovni principi njihove kliničke primene. Čigoja štampa, Beograd, Beograd 2003.
- 39. Rubtsova MY, Ulyashova MM, Edelstein MV, Egorov AM. Oligonucleotide microarrays with horseradish peroxidase-based detection for the identification of extended-spectrum β-lactamases. Biosens Bioelectron 2010; 26:1252-60. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2010.06.053
- 40. Goerke C, Pantucek R, Holtfreter S, et al. Diversity of prophages in dominant Staphylococcus aureus clonal lineages. J Bacteriol 2009; 191(11):3462-8.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01804-08

- 41. Cuny C, Abdelbary M, Layer F, Werner G, Witte W. Prevalence of the immune evasion gene cluster in Staphylococcus aureus CC398. Vet Microbiol 2015; 15;177(1-2):219-23.
- 42. Giese B, Dittmann S, Paprotka K, et al. Staphylococcal alpha-toxin is not sufficient to mediate escape from phagolysosomes in upper-airway epithelial cells. Infect Immun 2009; 77:3611-25.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01478-08

- 43. Grosz M, Kolter J, Paprotka K, et al. Cytoplasmic replication of Staphylococcus aureus upon phagosomal escape triggered by phenol-soluble modulin *α*. Cell Microbiol 2014; 16: 451–65. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12233
- 44. Kamenyeva O, Boularan C, Kabat J, et al. Neutrophil Recruitment to Lymph Nodes Limits Local Humoral Response to Staphylococcus aureus. PLoS Pathog 2015; 17;11:e1004827
- 45. Tajima A, Iwase T, Shinji H, et al. Inhibition of endothelial interleukin-8 production and neutrophil transmigration by Staphylococcus aureus beta-hemolysin. Infect Immun 2009; 77:327-34.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00748-08

- 46. Abdelnour A, Arvidson S, Bremell T, et al. The Accessory Gene Regulator (agr) Controls Staphylococcus aureus Virulence in a Murine Arthritis Model. Infect Immun 1993; 61:3879-85.
- 47. Nicholas RO, Li T, McDevitt D, et al. Isolation and Characterization of a sigB Deletion Mutant of Staphylococcus aureus. Infect Immun 1999; 67:3667-9
- 48. Chabelskaya S, Bordeau V, Felden B. Dual RNA regulatory control of a virulence factor.Nucleic Acids Res 2014; 42:4847-58. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku119
- 49. Arciola CR, Cervellati M, Pirini V, et al. Staphylococci in orthopaedic surgical wounds. New Microbiol 2001; 24:365-9.
- 50. Sadovskaya I, Vinogradov E, Flahaut S, et al. Extracellular carbohydrate-containing polymers of a model biofilm-producing strain, Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A. Infect Immun 2005; 73:3007-17

http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.5.3007-3017.2005

- 51. O'Gara JP. ica and beyond: biofilm mechanisms and regulation in Staphylococcus epidermidis and Staphylococcus aureus. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2007; 270:179-88.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00688.x
- 52. Bentivegna E, Citarrella E, Vivaldi R, et al. Leg ulcer and osteomyelitis due to methicillinsusceptible Staphylococcus aureus infection after fracture repair treatment: a case highlighting the

- potential role of prostaglandin E1 vasodilator. Infez Med 2015; 23:69-73.
- 53. Dastgheyb SS, Villaruz AE, Le KY, Tan VY, Duong AC, Chatterjee SS, Cheung GY, Joo HS, Hickok NJ, Otto M. Role of Phenol-Soluble Modulins in Formation of Staphylococcus aureus Biofilms in Synovial Fluid.Infect Immun 2015; 83:2966-75.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00394-15
- 54. Quave CL, Horswill Flipping the switch: tools for detecting small molecule inhibitors of staphylococcal virulence. Front Microbiol 2014; 12:706.

- 55. Yarwood JM, Bartels DJ, Volper EM, Greenberg EP Quorum sensing in Staphylococcus aureus biofilms. J Bacteriol 2004; 186:1838-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.6.1838-1850.2004
- 56. Bagnoli F, Fontana MR, Soldaini E, et al. Vaccine composition formulated with a novel TLR7-dependent adjuvant induces high and broad protection against Staphylococcus aureus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015; 24:112:3680-5.
- 57. Ko YP, Kuipers A, Freitag CM, et al. Phagocytosis escape by a Staphylococcus aureus protein that connects complement and coagulation proteins at the bacterial surface. PLoS Pathog 2013; 9:e1003816.

## Staphylococcus aureus: imunopatogeneza i imunitet kod ljudi

Biljana Miljković-Selimović<sup>1,3</sup>, Marina Dinić<sup>1,3</sup>, Jovan Orlović<sup>2</sup>, Tatjana Babić<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Univerzitet u Nišu, Medicinski fakultet, Srbija <sup>2</sup>Univerzitet u Nišu, Medicinski fakultet, Student postdiplomskih studija, Srbija <sup>3</sup>Institut za javno zdravlje Niš, Srbija

### SAŽETAK

Brojni su faktori patogenosti koji omogućavaju visok stepen virulencije mikroorganizmu poput Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus). Posebno je važno sagledati faktore sposobnosti stalne adaptacije na mehanizme imunskog odgovora domaćina, što bakteriji omogućava idealnu ekološku nišu za rast, razmnožavanje i širenje. Dosadašnji napori da se dođe do vakcine koja bi omogućila efikasnu zaštitu od infekcija izazvanih S. aureusom ostali su bez uspeha. Razlozi za ovakvu situaciju se nalaze u izraženoj adaptaciji ovog mikroorganizma na gotovo sve uslove spoljašnje sredine, u postojanju velikog broja faktora virulencije čiji mehanizmi delovanja nisu dovoljno poznati, kao i nedovoljnog poznavanja imunskog odgovora na infekciju S. aureus. Svrha ovog rada je da se sa novijih aspekata sagleda navedena problematika i istaknu savremena saznanja o faktorima virulencije i imunskom odgovoru na S. aureusu.

Ključne reči: Staphylococcus aureus, faktori virulencije, imunost