Introduction

In order to successfully treat patients with prostate cancer, it is necessary to implement early diagnosis, adequate risk assessment and optimal treatment. In recent decades, great effort was made to find reliable and easily measurable tumor marker that could be used for early detection, staging and monitoring of the disease on a large scale. Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) is now applied globally as the best serum marker for diagnosis and monitoring of prostate cancer (CaP), but with certain limitations in terms of specificity and sensitivity (1). Elevated serum PSA, except in prostate cancer, can often be registered in benign prostatic hyperplasia and inflammatory conditions. On the other hand, significant number of patients with prostate cancer may have normal PSA value (2). It is not often possible to make a difference between indolent and aggressive prostate cancers by measuring PSA level (3).

History of PSA

There is a lot of controversy related to the discovery of this marker, and there is no consensus about who came to its invention first. The scientist who first conducted experiments on prostate tissue antigens was Rubin Flocks (1960.) (4). Hara et al. discovered the prostate-specific protein in the seminal fluid and named it gamma-semiprotein (1966.) (5). However, most of the scientific community believes that the first discoverer of PSA was American scientist Richard Ablin in 1970. He isolated the antigen exclusively localized in prostatic tissue (normal, hyperplastic or malignant) which was immune and histochemical different from prostatic acid phosphatase, which was used as a diagnostic marker for prostate cancer at that time (6). The presence of PSA in serum was first registered by Papsidero et al. in 1980, which proved that the value of PSA in serum and prostatic tissue is identical (7). Thomas Stamey and colleagues came to a revolutionary discovery in 1987, by proving that the level of serum PSA correlates with prostate cancer stage and tumor size (8). That same year, the PSA was introduced into clinical practice and approved by the FDA (Food...
and Drug Administration) as a marker for prostate cancer monitoring. PSA have been also used as a marker for prostate cancer screening since 1994.

(9).

Initial psa as diagnostic parameter

Cut-off for normal PSA value with 4ng/ml was suggested in 1986, after a study on a small group of men (472) who did not have CaP (10). Cooner et al. in a study of 1807 men over the age of 50 years, concluded that PSA > 4ng/ml in presence of abnormal DR finding may be a predictive parameter for CaP (11). The same results were published in two additional studies by Catalone et al. and Brawer et al. in 1991 and 1992. This conclusion was also published in two large studies in 1992 (12, 13). PSA was approved by FDA as a screening marker for CaP after Catalone et al. suggested the cut-off value of 4ng/ml for all age groups (14). Studies have shown that initial PSA is significant, independent, diagnostic parameter for CaP.

However, it was registered that CaP was not a rare case in patients with PSA < 4 ng/ml. In PCPT study (Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial) 5519 men older than 55 years, which had PSA ≤ 3 ng/ml and normal DR finding, 7-year surveillance was conducted, whereby PSA value and DR examination were conducted annually. In case of abnormal DR finding and PSA ≥ 4ng/ml prostate biopsy was conducted, while in patients in whom CaP was not diagnosed at the end of the study, biopsy was done after a 7-year surveillance period. Biopsy was positive in 15% of men who had PSA ≤ 4ng/ml, while 15% of them had high-grade cancer (Gleason score ≥ 8). Sensitivity (for a cut-off value of 4 ng/ml) was 21% for all CaP types, and 51% for high-grade CaP. Specificity was 91%, and positive predictive value about 30%, which means that every third male with PSA ≥ 4ng/ml had CaP (15). It is evident that there is no PSA threshold below which we can be absolutely certain that the patient does not have CaP. However, it was shown that there is a risk continuum, in which patients with higher PSA levels have a higher risk for developing CaP (16) (Table 1).

Increasing sensitivity and specificity of psa

Since the initial use of PSA in the diagnosis of CaP showed some limitations, there is a need for other parameters that could possibly increase the sensitivity (increased number of diagnosed cancer) and specificity (reduce the number of unnecessary biopsies). One way to improve the specificity of PSA is setting the cut-off value in relation to age, given that the value of PSA increases with age. Therefore reference cut-off values are recommended for specific age-groups and racial affiliation (17) (Table 2).

### Table 1. Continuum of risk for prostate cancer also exists at low PSA levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSA value</th>
<th>No of patients (N = 2950)</th>
<th>No of patients with CaP (N = 449)</th>
<th>No of patients with high grade CaP (N = 67)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>No of high grade CaP/No of all CaP (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 0.5 ng/ml</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>32 (6.6)</td>
<td>4/32 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 – 1.0 ng/ml</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>80 (10.1)</td>
<td>8/80 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 – 2.0 ng/ml</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>170 (17.0)</td>
<td>20/170 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 – 3.0 ng/ml</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>115 (23.9)</td>
<td>22/115 (19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 – 4.0 ng/ml</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>52 (26.9)</td>
<td>13/52 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. PSA reference values by age groups and racial background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups (years)</th>
<th>Americans of Asian origin (ng/ml)</th>
<th>African Americans (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Caucasian race (ng/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40 – 49</td>
<td>0 – 2.0</td>
<td>0 – 2.0</td>
<td>0 – 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – 59</td>
<td>0 – 3.0</td>
<td>0 – 4.0</td>
<td>0 – 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 – 69</td>
<td>0 – 4.0</td>
<td>0 – 4.5</td>
<td>0 – 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 - 79</td>
<td>0 – 5.0</td>
<td>0 – 5.5</td>
<td>0 – 6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Change of PSA value in time can serve as a diagnostic parameter. PSA Doubling Time (PSADT) is defined as the time period for which the PSA value is doubled compared to the initial value (18). It was shown that this parameter has poor diagnostic, but more significant prognostic value, particularly in patients with biochemical recurrent CaP (19). PSA velocity (PSAV) is an absolute annual increase in serum PSA expressed in ng/ml/year. Studies have shown that an increase in PSA greater than 0.75 ng/ml/year increases the risk of CaP in subjects whose initial PSA values were between 4-10 ng/ml (20). Some authors suggest that the cut-off value of PSAV should be complied with age. The proposed cut-off values of PSAV for the age group between 40-59 years was 0.25 ng/ml, 0.5 ng/ml for the age group between 60-69 years, and 0.75 ng/ml for men over 70 years (21). However, unlike the initial PSA value, PSAV is not significant, independent diagnostic parameter for CaP (22, 23).

The ratio of free and total PSA (f/t PSA) is a very important parameter in the differential diagnosis between BPH and CaP, in the case where the value of PSA is in so called “gray zone” (4-10 ng/ml). CaP patients have smaller concentrations of free PSA compared to those with BPH. CaP was diagnosed in 56% of patients with f/t PSA < 0.1, and only in 8% of those with f/t PSA > 0.25. It is believed that the f/t ratio has no diagnostic significance if the PSA value is > 10 ng/ml (24).

The ratio between prostate volume and PSA has a certain diagnostic value and can be calculated when the value of PSA is divided by the total prostate volume (PSA density, PSAD) or by a volume of prostate transitional zone (transition zone PSA density, TZPSAD), measured using a transrectal ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging. Given that increased prostate volume (benign or malignant) causes a progressive increase in PSA level, using this parameter specificity of PSA test can be increased and number of unnecessary biopsies can be reduced. It was proposed that value of PSAD > 0.15 may be predictive for CaP (25). It is proved that PSAD has a higher diagnostic value if serum PSA is < 4 ng/ml, while when PSA value is between 4-10 ng/ml f/t PSA is more important diagnostic parameter (26). Chen et al. found that PSAD is superior to f/t PSA, when it comes to reducing the number of unnecessary repeated biopsy in patients with PSA levels of 4-10 ng/ml (27).

Newer studies on free PSA fraction, a marker that has significantly increased specificity of PSA test, led to the realization that he can exist in at least 3 different forms: benign PSA, intact PSA and the proPSA (28). Studies have shown that proPSA is one of the forms that could be of great importance in the diagnosis of CaP, especially its most stable isoform - p2PSA. This isoform is primarily present in the peripheral zone of prostate, and slightly in the transition zone of the prostate. In addition, serum p2PSA levels were significantly higher in patients with CaP than in patients without cancer (29). In order to increase the sensitivity and specificity of the test, a mathematical algorithm called Prostate Health Index (PHI) was developed, which incorporates tPSA, fPSA and p2PSA values, and is defined by the formula: PHI = (p2PSA / fPSA) x (tPSA) ½ (30). Compared to standard markers for the detection of CaP which showed a lot of uncertainty, p2PSA and PHI were imposed as a potentially better and more specific for the detection of CaP, particularly in PSA levels of 2-10 ng/ml (31).

4K test score is one of the newest diagnostic tests, in which by using four individual kallikreins (total, free, intact PSA and kallikrein-related peptidase 2) the risk of aggressive CaP is determined. This test combines test results with data such as age, DR finding and previous prostate biopsy finding. Large prospective studies showed significant predictive value of this test for poorly differentiated CaP (Gleason score ≥ 7) (32).

**Advantages and disadvantages of global application of PSA screening CaP**

Screening for prostate cancer has an opportunistic character, which means non-systematic testing in men who themselves appear to urological examination. There is no doubt that the PSA era has led to increased detection of CaP, especially in the earlier stages, as well as a significant reduction in metastatic disease (33). When it comes to the impact on survival, two largest, randomized, prospective studies could not answer the question if massive use of PSA is justified or not: ERSPC (European Randomized Study for Prostate Cancer) and PLCO (Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovary trial).

While ERSPC after 13 years of follow-up showed a reduction in mortality of 27%, the PLCO study showed no benefit of screening in terms of tumor-specific mortality. However, there are certain differences in methodological approach between these two studies that should be noted.

PLCO study involved 76,693 respondents aged between 55-74 years, which had PSA test and DR examination carried out once a year. ERSPC study, in which the results of several small studies were summarized, included 162, 243 respondents aged between 55-69 years, while the measurement of PSA was done mainly on a 4 year-interval. Almost half of the men before pulling into the PLCO study underwent PSA testing, unlike ERSPC study, where subjects were not previously screened. The PLCO study also recorded slightly lower compliance of patients in the screening group, in terms of responding to urological examination and prostate biopsy, and also a higher percentage of respondent contamination in the control group (52%). However, the major methodological diversity between two studies was PSA threshold taken as a trigger for prostate biopsy. PSA > 4 ng/ml or abnormal DR finding were an indication for biopsy in the PLCO study, while in ERSPC study, PSA value of 3 ng/ml was used in most cases as a threshold. Regardless of this fact, two studies demonstrated an increased incidence of CaP in a screening group compared to the control group. The largest percentage of these cancers was localized, well-differentiated cancers (34, 35). The fact that a large number of these indolent cancers were subjected to some form of active treatment is indicative of so-called overdiagnosis and overtreatment problem. In other words, due to discovery of a
large number of clinically insignificant CaP (overdiagnosis), a significant number of these patients are subjected to treatment that does not cause prognostic benefit and post-treatment complications can often reduce the quality of life of patients (over-treatment) (36). It is estimated that it is necessary to implement screening of 781 men, and actively treat 27 men diagnosed with CaP, in order to directly prevent one death from CaP (34).

In order to optimize patients for CaP screening, there was a need for the creation of so-called risk calculators and nomograms. They represent a special scheme which contains parameters such as: age, DR finding, race, family history, previous prostate biopsies, tPSA and fPSA, and based on these data, calculate the risk for CaP and its aggressive form. In accordance with these findings, recommendations are given for further follow-up, or if there is an indication, prostate biopsy is considered. The ultimate goal is to reduce the overdiagnosis problem, but simultaneously to reduce the tumor-specific mortality by aggressive and poorly-differentiated tumors being diagnosed at an early stage (37). Several nomograms have been presented in current practice but none of them shown superiority in comparison to others (38). Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center has recently outlined the scheme for CaP screening: begin screening at age 45; if PSA is < 1 ng/ml repeat testing in 6-10 years; if PSA is ≥ 1 and < 3 ng/ml repeat testing in 2-4 years; if the PSA ≥ 3 ng/ml prostate biopsy is considered. In making decisions for prostate biopsy, we should consider: risk factors (family history, racial origin), previous prostate biopsies, PSA dynamics and whether there is an inflammatory component as the cause of PSA elevation (antibiotic prophylaxis). Screening should be discontinued at age 60 if PSA value is ≤ 1ng/ml, or at age 75 if the PSA level in the normal range (39).

Conclusion

The widespread use of PSA has led to the discovery of a large number of indolent cancers, which led to the problem of overdiagnosis and overtreatment. On the other hand, CaP in its aggressive form continues to cause significant morbidity and mortality in the male population. In the absence of hard evidence about the benefits of global screening, but with due caution when taking into account the positive effects of PSA testing, opportunistic screening should be conducted. Therefore, it is necessary to stratify patients in groups on the basis of initial PSA and other parameters, and based on that, to propose a scheme for determining PSA individually.
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Prostata specifični antigen (PSA) danas se globalno primenjuje kao najbolji serumski marker za dijagnostiku i praćenje karcinoma prostate (CaP), ali sa određenim ograničenjima, u smislu specifičnosti i senzitivnosti. Gornja granica za normalne vrednosti PSA od 4 ng/ml predložena je 1986. godine. U PCPT studiji senzitivnost PSA (za graničnu vrednost od 4 ng/ml) bila je 21% za sve tipove CaP, odnosno 51% za karcinome visokog gradusa, pri čemu je tek svaki treći muškarac sa visokim vrednostima PSA imao CaP. Ipak, pokazano je da postoji kontinuum rizika po kojem bolesnici sa većim vrednostima PSA imaju veći rizik od oboljavanje od CaP. U cilju povećanja senzitivnosti (povećanja broja dijagnostikovanih karcinoma) i specifičnosti (smanjenja broja nepotrebnih biopsija) javila se potreba i za drugim parametrima: PSA doubling time, PSA velocity, f/t PSA, PSA density, Prostate Health Index – PHI, 4K score test. Takođe se, u cilju optimizacije bolesnika za skrining CaP izrađuju i tzv. nomogrami i kalkulatori rizika. Kada je reč o uticaju skrinninga PSA na preživljanje, pitanje opravdanosti masovne upotrebe PSA nisu uspele da razreši ni dve najveće, randomizovane, prospektivne studije: ERSPC studija i PLCO studija. Dok je ERSPC nakon 13 godina praćenja pokazala redukciju mortaliteta za 27%, studija PLCO nije pokazala korist skrinninga u pogledu tumor specifičnog mortaliteta.
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