

**Original article**

**doi:10.5633/amm.2025.0309**

**THE SYNERGY OF FORENSIC MEDICINE AND BLOODSTAIN PATTERN ANALYSIS IN DETERMINING THE MANNER OF DEATH**

Ivan Stojanović<sup>1,2</sup>, Milica Miljković<sup>1,2</sup>, Marija Nikolić<sup>2</sup>, Saša Veličković<sup>2</sup>, Bogdan Pinterović<sup>2</sup>,  
Dimitrije Pančić<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Niš, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Forensic Medicine Niš, Serbia

Contact: Ivan Stojanović

81 dr Zorana Đinđića Blvd., 18000 Niš, Serbia

e-mail: stojanovic81@gmail.com

A forensic pathologist and a bloodstain pattern analyst are involved in determining the manner and the mechanism of death, but based on different scientific approaches. Our work presents the benefits of a holistic approach to forensic expertise. We have analyzed and described three cases. In all cases, the initial police investigation had concluded the wrong manner of violent death (accident, suicide, homicide), but the subsequent synergy of forensic autopsy and bloodstain pattern analysis (BPA) determined the true nature of death. BPA in Serbia is a relatively new forensic discipline. Police authorities (Crime scene evidence technicians) in Serbia are familiar with BPA, but according to our legislation, they are not allowed to provide expertise in the courtroom. The benefits of synergistic expertise in forensic medicine and BPA are more than evident in our cases. Therefore, the education of specialists in forensic medicine in BPA will be useful for solving suspicious and indistinctive cases.

Keywords: forensic medicine; autopsy; bloodstain; bloodstain pattern analysis;

Originalni rad

doi:10.5633/amm.2025.0309

**SINERGIJA SUDSKE MEDICINE I ANALIZE OBRAZACA KRVNIH MRLJA ZA ODREĐIVANJE POREKLA SMRTI**

**Ivan Stojanović<sup>1,2</sup>, Milica Miljković<sup>1,2</sup>, Marija Nikolić<sup>2</sup>, Saša Veličković<sup>2</sup>, Bogdan Pinterović<sup>2</sup>, Dimitrije Pančić<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Univerzitet u Nišu, Medicinski fakultet, Srbija

<sup>2</sup> Zavod za sudsku medicinu Niš, Srbija

Kontakt: Ivan Stojanović

Bulevar dr Zorana Đinđića 81, 18000 Niš, Srbija

e-mail: stojanovic81@gmail.com

Specijalista sudske medicine i analitičar obrazaca krvnih mrlja su uključeni u utvrđivanje prirode i mehanizma smrti, ali na osnovu različitog naučnog pristupa. Naš rad predstavlja prednosti holističkog pristupa forenzičkoj ekspertizi. Analizirali smo i opisali tri slučaja. U svim slučajevima, početna policijska istraga utvrdila je pogrešnu prirodu nasilne smrti (zades, samoubistvo, ubistvo), ali je naknadna sinergija sudske medicine i analize obrazaca krvnih mrlja (BPA) utvrdila pravu prirodu smrti. BPA u Srbiji je relativno nova forenzička disciplina. Policijski istražni organi (kriminalistički-tehničari na mestu zločina) u Srbiji su upoznati sa osnovnim principima BPA, ali prema našem zakonodavstvu nije im dozvoljeno da obavljaju veštačenja u sudnici. Prednosti sinergističkog sudskomedicinog i BPA veštačenja su više nego očigledne u našim slučajevima. Stoga, edukacija specijalista sudske medicine iz oblasti BPA bila bi korisna za rešavanje sumnjivih i nejasnih slučajeva.

Ključne reči: sudska medicina; obdukcija; krvna mrlja; analiza obrazaca krvnih mrlja;

## **Introduction**

A forensic pathologist and a bloodstain pattern analyst are differently educated experts. They are both involved in determining the manner and the mechanism of death, but based on different scientific approaches.

The forensic pathologist performs autopsies to determine the cause and manner of death (homicide, suicide, accidental, natural, undetermined). Also, the forensic autopsy reveals different pathological processes, injuries, and diseases that directly or indirectly initiate a series of events that lead to a person's death (the mechanism of death). The forensic pathologist examines a corpse and documents all injuries, along with the possible cause of injuries. Based on those findings along with police crime scene reports, the forensic pathologist can determine the manner of death and the mechanism of injuries. Sometimes, those findings are insufficient for determining the manner of death and the mechanism of injuries, so another field of forensic expertise must be included (1, 2).

The bloodstain pattern analysis (BPA) is based on scientific examination of the size, shape, distribution, and location of the bloodstains to recreate the actions that caused the bloodshed and to form opinions about what did or did not happen. BPA uses principles of biology, medicine, physics, chemistry, and mathematics, so this is a multidisciplinary branch of forensic science. BPA analysts can determine types of bloodstains by gathering information from spatter patterns, transfers, voids, and others, to assist investigators in recreating the sequence of events that occurred during and after bloodshed. This important information assists the investigators in reconstructing the crime, including or excluding potential perpetrators from the investigation, and scientifically testing statements from witnesses. Based on facts and information gathered from BPA expertise, it is possible to determine the manner of death (3, 4, 5, 6, 7).

Currently, it is not often that a forensic expert is simultaneously educated in forensic medicine and BPA. In Serbia, there are only two forensic experts with those qualifications. Our work presents the benefits of a holistic approach to forensic expertise of violent death (4, 8).

## **Aim**

The aim was to evaluate the benefits of the holistic approach in determining the manner of death with the synergy of forensic medicine and bloodstain pattern analysis.

## **Material and methods**

Material was obtained from expert reports of the Institute of Forensic Medicine in Nis, Serbia. We have simultaneously analyzed reports of three cases, where the autopsy and the BPA expertise were performed, but individually they couldn't explain all important circumstances of the death.

## **Results**

We have analyzed and described three cases. In all cases, the initial police investigation had concluded the wrong manner of violent death (accident, suicide, homicide), but the subsequent synergy of forensic autopsy and BPA determined the true nature of death.

**Case 1:** The deceased 73-year-old man was found in an auxiliary house on his farm (see Figure 1). From the house to the barn, many bloodstains were found on the ground forming a drip trail pattern. Crime scene investigators found some bloodstains in front of the barn on the white bag, but also in the barn bloodstains were found in one of the buckets with water. Police assumed that the man was injured in the barn by one of the cows, where the bloodstains began, and that he reached the place where the corpse was found. So, investigating authorities had believed at first it was an accidental death. The autopsy revealed that the death was due to a head injury, but it was unlikely that it was an accidental death.



**Figure 1.** A – Sitting position of the victim with bloodstains; B – Drip bloodstains forming a drip trail pattern from the victim to the barn; C – Bloodstains in the barn; D – Spatter bloodstains on the white plastic bag in front of the barn with a pool of blood (red circle) and established area of convergence of spatter pattern (red-yellow stars).

On-site BPA analysis revealed that at least three spatter patterns of bloodstains were found on the white plastic bags in front of the barn. Further analysis revealed that the point of origin of those spatter patterns was consistent with the victim's head position at the time of injury (point of origin marked by red-yellow stars). In front of the barn, a larger pool of blood was found on the ground, indicating that the victim had been motionless on the ground for a long time after suffering head injuries. Comparative analysis of blood traces in the barn with traces of diluted bloodstains on the shirt of the victim indicates that the victim was in the barn when there was already liquid blood on him and then the victim came in contact with water. Furthermore, traces of blood flow on the head and the front of the body indicate that the injured person was in a standing position for some time after suffering a head injury. The injuries to the head were otherwise of such a nature that he could move independently for a certain period. Based on the results of BPA expertise, the established

sequence of events is: 1 - victim getting injured in front of the barn; 2 - entering the barn and contacting the water; 3 - then probably moving independently to the auxiliary house, where the body of the deceased was found. It was then absolutely confirmed that it was a homicide.

Although autopsy unequivocally revealed violent death, the manner of death was inconclusive. Subsequent BPA at the crime scene revealed the position of the deceased at the time of sustaining injuries, which was inconsistent with the previous assumption of accidental death. The combination of obtained facts from both reports was terminated by the arrest of two offenders.

**Case 2:** A man reported that he and his wife had been attacked by unknown assailants. The man stated to police that he had been attacked in front of the house, that he had sustained a head injury and lost consciousness, but immediately after his awareness, he called a neighbor to contact the police. A clinical forensic examination revealed that he was indeed injured in the occipital region, so his story was not in doubt.

The deceased 48-year-old woman was found in the bedroom, and many bloodstains were found on the wall, bed, and around the corpse (see Figure 2). The on-site BPA analysis revealed that at least four spatter patterns are located on the wall above the headboard of the bed, and placed one over the other. This practically means that each spatter pattern corresponds to at least one blow to the victim's head. Interesting was the area with no bloodstains, and that area was the victim's pelvic region. This void area of bloodstains corresponded to some object, which was moved after inflicting injuries on the victim, and that object was an assailant.



**Figure 2.** A – Position of the victim with the general aspect of the crime scene; B – Bloodstains around the victim with a void area of spatter bloodstains in the pelvic area (between green lines); C – Spatter pattern on the wall above the headboard of the bed with established four areas of convergence of the spatter pattern (red-yellow stars); D – Spatter stains on the back of the t-shirt.

A corpse examination of the victim revealed that there were head injuries inflicted with at least 12 blows. Most of the wounds were large linear lacerations with multifragmenting skull fractures. Those injuries were inflicted by an elongated cylindrical object similar to a pole or stick. The number and manner of inflicting injuries indicated a strong emotional discharge of the assailant, which could also mean a close emotional connection between the victim and the assailant, and at that time the suspicion that the husband might be the perpetrator was raised. The entire house was inspected and a bloodstained man's T-shirt was found in the laundry basket, with bloodstains on the front and back of the t-shirt. The dilemma about the identity of the person who was wearing this shirt was easily solved by later DNA analysis, and it was the husband of the victim.

Based on the corpse examination and the bloodstain pattern analysis we concluded:

1. The victim sustained head injuries in approximately the same position of her head in the space as her corpse was found, by repeated blunt force strikes to her head.

2. The weapon used in this homicide was an elongated cylindrical object similar to a pole or stick. Later, a bloodstained metal bar was found in a cesspit.

3. The assailant was positioned above the victim, face to face, by probably sitting across her pelvic region or by some other part of his body covering her pelvic region. From this position, the assailant, who wore a gray T-shirt during a critical event, swung a blood-stained weapon over his head and his right shoulder, and he probably held the weapon in his right hand when blood spatters were projected on the back of this t-shirt, at the feet of the victim and on the bedding along her feet.

**Case 3:** This case was a homicide of an 82-year-old woman with shotgun pellets. An autopsy of the victim revealed two gunshot wounds, one massive gunshot wound on the left forearm, and a lot of single wounds on the front side of the chest and abdomen (see Figure 3). Based on autopsy findings and the degree of pellets scattering, a wound on the left forearm was inflicted from a discharge range of about 2 meters, while gunshot wounds on the torso were inflicted from a discharge range of about 18-20 meters. At some point during the autopsy, it was assumed that the left forearm was raised in front of the body, that the attacker did indeed shoot from a distance of about 2 meters, and that the gunshot wounds to the torso were caused by secondary scattering of projectiles on the left forearm bones. Analysis of gunshot residues couldn't provide a scientific answer, but BPA could clear out this situation. To prove this, we have done a detailed laboratory examination of the victim's shirt by a BPA expert.





**Figure 3.** A – General aspect of the victim with clothing; B – Massive gunshot wound of the left forearm and scattered pellet wounds on the front side of the torso; C – Close aspect of the shirt; D – Close-up aspect of the rupture on the front side of the shirt in infrared red camera live-view with dark blood spatters (note the bright clear color of the shirt).

The victim's shirt was colorful and extremely difficult to analyze, so in those situations, BPA uses the benefits of the infrared camera. An infrared camera helps BPA experts to clear out patterns of textiles and turn dark colors into bright ones, while bloodstains remain dark. Using this method, it has been shown that there are spatter patterns of bloodstains around most entrance wounds on the torso. Those spatters confirmed our theory, that the pellets came to the torso together with the cloud of small blood drops. The source of blood for those blood drops was the gunshot wound on the left forearm.

After completing both autopsy and BPA expertise, it was concluded that there was a single shot from a close range, with a secondary scattering of pellets at the broken forearm bone fragments. At first, it seemed to be two shots, one from close range in the forearm and

the other from a distance in the chest and abdomen, by the synergy of forensic autopsy and BPA revealed the true mechanism of injury.

### **Discussion**

The organization scheme is very diverse across forensic laboratories worldwide, so it means there is still no perfect one. Authorities in the forensic science community have different views on writing reports by experts educated in different fields of forensic science. Also, some of those authorities have different opinions on the importance and proportion of generalist and specialist experts. Bigger laboratories have the privilege of having a wide range of different experts. Moderately sized laboratories have a limited number of employees, so it would be more appropriate to educate one forensic scientist in a few diverse fields of forensic science, but also in the basic principles of BPA (4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11).

A forensic pathologist and a BPA analyst are both interested in the wounds of a victim and bloodstains, and they are both involved in determining the manner and the mechanism of death, but based on different facts. They use different methodologies for different objects of interest, resulting in a similar final goal. By combining knowledge of those two forensic disciplines we can gain an overall evaluation and more reliable facts of crime events. There are only a few experts in the world that simultaneously educated in those disciplines. Our work presents the benefits of the holistic approach to violent death related forensic expertise. BPA is grounded on principles of physics, biology, chemistry, and medicine. Rising out of those ground principles, it is clear that a forensic pathologist is the most suitable forensic scientist to be additionally educated in BPA (1, 2, 3, 6).

BPA is relatively new in Serbia in the form that it exists in leading world countries. For most judges and prosecutors this is something new. Most people are untrustworthy to new things, especially in new scientific areas. Recent scientific research revealed that conclusions in BPA reports were often erroneous (11.2%) and often contradicted other analysts (7.8%). Those results suggest a need for improved standards in BPA, but those results could be a consequence of insufficient knowledge of experts. Contradictory interpretations contributed to errors and disagreements, which could have serious implications if they occurred in casework. Confidence in BPA expertise could be obtained

through strict standards, defined terminology, accredited education, and clear expertise by a BPA analyst (3, 5, 8).

### **Conclusion**

BPA in Serbia is a relatively new forensic discipline. Police authorities (Crime scene evidence technicians) in Serbia are familiar with BPA, but according to our legislation, they are not allowed to provide expertise in the courtroom. The benefits of synergistic expertise in forensic medicine and BPA are more than evident in our cases. Therefore, our work showed that the education of specialists in forensic medicine in the BPA is useful for solving suspicious and indistinctive cases.

AMM Paper Accepted

## Literature

1. Wright RK. The role of the forensic pathologist. In: Forensic Science. CRC Press, 2002; 43-54.

2. Mcewen T and Regoezci W. Forensic evidence in homicide investigations and prosecutions. J Forensic Sci 2015; 60: 1188–1198.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26174557/>

3. Karger B, Rand S, Fracasso T, et al. Bloodstain pattern analysis – casework experience. Forensic Sci Int 2008; 181: 15–20.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0379073808003137>

4. Stojanovic I. The Connecting Point of DNA and BPA Expertise of Bloodstained Objects. Int J Forens Sci 2024, 9(1): 000373.

<https://www.medwinpublishers.com/article-description.php?artId=12185>

5. DeForest PR. A review of interpretation of bloodstain evidence at crime scenes. J Forensic Sci 1990; 35: 1491–1495.

<https://asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/forensicciences/article-abstract/35/6/1491/1182604/A-Review-of-Interpretation-of-Bloodstain-Evidence?redirectedFrom=fulltext>

6. Yen K, Thali M, Kneubuehl B, et al. Blood-spatter patterns: hands hold clues for the forensic reconstruction of the sequence of events. Am J Forensic Med Pathol 2003; 24: 132–140.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12773848/>

7. Peschel O, Kunz SN, Rothschild MA, et al. Blood stain pattern analysis. Forensic Sci Med Pathol 2011; 7: 257–270.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21069481/>

8. Stojanović I, Stojanović J, Šorgić D, Čipev A. Effect of incomplete sampling description in DNA reports on bloodstain pattern analysis and reconstruction of a crime scene. *Med Sci Law* 2020; 60(4): 301-304.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32476592/>

9. Siu S, Pender J, Springer F, et al. Quantitative differentiation of bloodstain patterns resulting from gunshot and blunt force impacts. *J Forensic Sci* 2017; 62: 1166-1179.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28185256/>

10. Stojanović I. Detection of bloodstains on cotton fabric after washing. *Acta medica Medianae*. 2019;58(1):24-7.

[https://publisher.medfak.ni.ac.rs/AMM\\_1/2019/2019-1-broj/celi\\_radovi/04Ivan%20Stojanovic.pdf](https://publisher.medfak.ni.ac.rs/AMM_1/2019/2019-1-broj/celi_radovi/04Ivan%20Stojanovic.pdf)

11. Neuteboom W, Ross A, Bugeja L, Willis S, Roux C et Lothridge K. Quality Management in forensic science: A closer inspection. *Forensic Sci Int* 2024; 358: 111779.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37479548/>

12. Milošević M, Bjelovuk I et Kesić T. Quality Management System in Forensic Laboratories. *Journal of Criminalistics and Law* 2009; 14(2): 1-12.

<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/196617864.pdf>

13. Stojanović I, Stefanović A et Ilić G. DNA degradation of bloodstains on cotton fabric caused by different washing procedures. *Forensic Sci Med Pathol* 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12024-023-00768-2>.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38175311/>