

## IMPORTANCE OF BIOMARKERS IN PREOPERATIVE EVALUATION OF CARDIOVASCULAR RISK

*Danica Marković<sup>1</sup>, Biljana Stošić<sup>1,2</sup>, Nenad Savić<sup>1</sup>,  
Ines Veselinović<sup>1</sup>, Vesna Dinić<sup>1</sup>, Boris Djindjić<sup>3</sup>,  
Bojana Marković-Živković<sup>4</sup>, Marko Ristić<sup>5</sup>, Milena Stojanović<sup>6</sup>*

Preoperative assessment of cardiovascular risk and timely diagnosis of myocardial damage are of great importance in the prevention of postoperative morbidity and mortality. The latest guidelines by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) / European Society of Anesthesiology (ESA) emphasize the importance of the anesthesiologist in the multidisciplinary approach as well as the central role of biomarkers in the preoperative preparation of patients. In addition to the standard battery of biomarkers, which has been used for years to assess the cardiovascular risk, there are new biomarkers which promise more accurate and more specific preoperative assessment. *Acta Medica Medianae* 2016;55(1):70-75.

**Key words:** biological markers, risk assessment, H-FABP, human, MR-pro-ADM, human, miRNA

Center for Anesthesiology and Reanimatology,  
Clinical Center Niš, Niš, Serbia<sup>1</sup>  
University of Niš, Faculty of Medicine,  
Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Niš, Serbia<sup>2</sup>  
University of Niš, Faculty of Medicine,  
Institute for Pathophysiology, Niš, Serbia<sup>3</sup>  
Secondary Medical School 'Dr Milenko Hadžić', Niš, Serbia<sup>4</sup>  
Veterinary Institute Subotica, Subotica, Serbia<sup>5</sup>  
University of Niš, Faculty of Medicine, Niš, Serbia<sup>6</sup>

Contact: Danica Marković  
Josifa Pančića 6/50, 18000 Niš  
E-mail: danica-amm@medfak.ni.ac.rs

### Introduction

The fact that anesthesiologists are in daily contact with patients who are at increased cardiovascular risk has led to the necessity of the specific guidelines for preoperative determination of cardiovascular risk in everyday clinical practice. The risk of perioperative complications depends on patient's preoperative condition, the prevalence of comorbidity and urgency, extensiveness, type and duration of surgery (1, 2). Perioperative patient's risk can be estimated based on the severity of existing heart failure, presence of arrhythmia, the occurrence of recent myocardial infarction, patient's age, etc. More specifically, cardiovascular complications may arise in the patients who have verified or asymptomatic ischemic heart disease, left ventricular dysfunction, arrhythmias and valvular heart disease, and who are undergoing operations with prolonged hemodynamic and cardiac stress (2). Postoperative development of arterial hypertension, cardiac insufficiency and arrhythmia mainly occurs two days after the operation, while the risk of perioperative myocardial infarction persists for five or six postoperative days (3). The number of patients at risk of cardiovascular complications in-

creases each year. Around the world, non-cardiovascular surgeries are associated with the complication rate of 7-11% and with mortality degree of 0.8-1.5% (4). As 42% of these cases are caused by cardiovascular complications, therefore timely diagnosis of myocardial damage is crucial (4, 5). One of the most important parameter for cardiovascular risk assessment is the use of adequate battery of biomarkers, whose significance is enhanced in the latest guidelines.

### General regulations of preoperative cardiovascular risk assessment

Anesthesiologists can be led first by clinical signs and their experience in the preoperative assessment of cardiovascular risk. One of the main items in the first contact with the patient is to assess functional capacity and it represents an extremely important step in the preoperative assessment of cardiovascular risk. It is measured in metabolic equivalents (MET). Functional capacity below 4 METs indicates poor functional capacity and is considered to indicate a high risk of postoperative cardiac complications. If the functional capacity is low or unknown, the clinician is referred to the risk factors in combination with the type of the operation in order to determine the postoperative risk (2, 6).

In the past 30 years, a number of risk assessment scales have been developed, however, the most frequently used are those by the authors Goldman, Detsky, and Lee (2, 7-10). The so-called Lee score for cardiovascular risk assessment has emerged as a modification of the Goldman score. This evaluation places six independent predictors in focus, such as: high-risk operation, history of ischemic heart disease, history of congestive heart

failure, history of cerebrovascular disease, preoperative insulin therapy and preoperative serum creatinine > 2.0 mg / dL (11).

Although Lee score is the most commonly used today, other methods of assessment are developed. The use of the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) database has helped for a new model in the form of an interactive calculator to predict intraoperative / postoperative myocardial infarction and cardiac arrest to be developed (12, 13). Studies conducted in order to assess the quality of this interactive calculator indicated that hospitals that have introduced it in their practices had a smaller number of complications, as well as reduced treatment cost (14).

### **Biomarkers in cardiovascular risk assessment**

The risk of developing cardiovascular complications after non-cardiac interventions has declined in the last 30 years as a result of the development of new anesthetic and surgical techniques. However, extensive analysis suggests that the clinical evaluation of patients is not enough because about 50% of deaths are caused by cardiovascular complications which occur in patients who have cardiovascular diseases in history (15). For this reason, the increasing importance in practice is given to cardiac specific biomarkers. Different biomarkers exhibit a variety of patho-physiological mechanisms of cardiovascular diseases, such as oxidative stress, myocardial stress, heart muscle damage, activation of neurohormonal pathways, apoptosis, etc. Due to this fact the modern currents in anesthesia practice support the so-called multimarker approach (16, 17).

Biomarker is a characteristic which can be objectively measured and which represents an indicator of a certain biological process. Characteristics of an ideal biomarker are: high levels in the heart tissues, absence in other tissues, absence in the serum of healthy people, quick release for early diagnosis, long half-life in order to have late diagnosis and positive results in clinical trials (1, 18, 19).

When the evaluation of perioperative risk is in question, biomarkers can be divided into markers which concern myocardial ischemia and damage, inflammation and left ventricular function. The most commonly used biomarkers in clinical practice are: aspartate aminotransferase (AST), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), creatine kinase (CK), hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase (HBDH), creatine kinase MB isoenzyme (CK-MB), CK-MB mass, myoglobin, carboxy anhydrase, glycogen phosphorylase BB, troponin T (TnT), troponin I (TnI), etc. (1).

### **Biomarkers in the guidelines of european society of cardiology (ESC)/ european society of anesthesiology (ESA)**

The latest guidelines by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) / European Society of Anesthe-

siology (ESA) point to the great importance of troponin (cTnI and cTnT), BNP and NT-proBNP in the assessment of myocardial damage.

Cardiac troponins T and I (cTnT and cTnI) are the most important markers for the diagnosis of myocardial infarction in the presence or absence of renal failure (20). Studies point out that even the smallest increase in cTnT in the perioperative period indicates a clinically significant myocardial damage that worsens postoperative prognosis (21, 22). Troponin is also considered to be an ideal biomarker for postoperative monitoring of cardiovascular complications (23).

Markers of inflammation preoperatively reveal patients with an increased risk for the development of the unstable coronary plaque. BNP and NT-proBNP are formed in cardiomyocytes as a response to the occurrence of the myocardial wall damage (24). Their preoperative determination indicates the possibility of development of cardiovascular complications after major non-cardiovascular surgery (1, 2). A number of studies point to the fact that BNP and NT-proBNP give a prognosis of cardiovascular risk in patients who suffer from some type of heart disease as well as in healthy individuals (21).

Blankenberg et al. have examined the effectiveness of 30 biomarkers in the MORGAM study. The results showed that the optimal combination of NT-proBNP, CRP and Troponin leads to the high specificity in the risk prediction (25).

Determination of serum biomarkers in patients undergoing a non-cardiovascular surgery is not used routinely, but is considered for patients at high risk (MET $\leq$ 4) (2).

### **Novel biomarkers**

The availability of new research methods and procedures for testing different biological pathways opens new possibilities for efficient and specific detection of biomarkers (25).

Development of novel biomarkers, such as: high-sensitive troponin (hsTnT), heart-type fatty acid binding protein (hFABP), survivin, mid-regional fragment of proadrenomedullin (MR -PAMP), micro RNA (miRNA) will improve the assessment of myocardial damage in the future (1, 21).

Weber et al. have pointed out that hsTnT adds to the significance in the prediction of cardiovascular risk of the patients in combination with Lee index and the use of NT-proBNP as highly specific biomarkers (21). As a result of this study, the use of NT-proBNP and hsTnT as the most specific combination of preoperative assessment of risk is suggested.

Cytoplasmic FABP represents a family of transport proteins which facilitate the transport of fatty acids through the membrane. H-FABP represents a tissue-specific protein for heart and brain tissue. It is present in the cardiac tissue in high concentrations and is released rapidly into the circulation following damage of the heart tissue (26-28).

Elevated levels of H-FABP are present in the circulation 2 to 3 hours after the damage and return to normal within 12 to 24 hours after the initial

event (26, 29). Studies have shown that H-FABP is more specific in the diagnosis of myocardial injury than cTnT in the patients with chronic heart failure (30), while it is the most specific biomarker besides hsTnT in the evaluation of patients with chest pain in primary care (31). These data make H-FABP highly reliable biomarker for the assessment of myocardial tissue damage in acute coronary syndrome and in assessing minor damage of myocardial tissue in the patients with heart failure and unstable angina pectoris (32). In the first 6 hours after the acute heart tissue damage H-FABP has proven to be more specific in relation to the TnT (33-35).

It is important to note that H-FABP is present in skeletal muscles at low concentrations; however, clinicians believe that damage of skeletal muscles during surgery can not lead to the occurrence of high levels of H-FABP in serum (30).

Mid-regional fragment of proadrenomedullin (MR-PAMP) is released at higher concentrations compared to the adrenomedullin, it is inactive, has a greater half life, and can be used as a routine biomarker (36-39). The level of MR-PAMP is elevated in patients with ischemic heart disease, congenital heart failure and atherosclerosis and is a significant predictor of mortality (40). Comparative analysis of 12 biomarkers demonstrated that MR-PAMP, NT-proBNP, GDF-15 and cystatin C are the most significant predictors of cardiovascular complications in patients with stable angina pectoris (41). The so-called BACH (Biomarkers in Acute Heart Failure) study showed that MR-PAMP has greater significance in the prognosis of mortality within 90 days for patients diagnosed as acute heart failure than BNP (42, 43).

Micro RNA (miRNA) is a recently discovered class of endogenous, small, non-coding RNA molecules that are extremely stable in the circulation (44-46). Studies indicate exceptional character of miRNA in the processes of differentiation, growth, proliferation and apoptosis of cells, and it is considered that free miRNA molecules can be found in patient's blood due to liberation from the cells after the process of necrosis (29, 47, 48). MiRNA expression in myocardial cells is extremely high. Some of the miRNA expressed in heart tissue are: miR-21, -29a, -129, -210, -211, -320, -423 and -let7c (49). The most important role in the development of myocardial hypertrophy have: miR-1, -21, -133, -195

and -208 (29). Elevated expression of miR-126, -145, -146, -155 and -210 indicates the presence of atherosclerosis (50-52).

Devaux *et al.* showed that the concentration of miR-208b, -499 and -320 is significantly increased in patients with acute myocardial infarction, as well as that they do not have a significant diagnostic value without the simultaneous evaluation of the concentration of cTnT or hsTnT (53). miR-208a can serve as a new biomarker for early detection of myocardial damage (54). Circulating miR-1, -133, and -499 -208b may be of an importance in the case of acute myocardial infarction; however, they do not have a greater significance than cTnT (55). Current research indicates the greatest significance of miR-499 as a novel biomarker for the identification of perioperative myocardial infarction in cardiac surgery (56).

MiRNA still has a small diagnostic potential if it is interpreted independently from troponin. Another negative side is that there are no commercial rapid tests and standardized protocols available, and testing the presence of miRNA in the patient's blood takes too much time (49).

Mapping of the human genome indicated the presence of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) which are associated with cardiovascular disease and risk phenotypes. Until today, more than 30 genetic loci are known. The fact is that the exact mechanisms that connect most of these SNPs with cardiovascular disease are not yet fully understood (25, 57, 58).

## Conclusion

Primary prevention of cardiovascular complications after non-cardiac surgeries relies on the ability to identify the individuals with an increased risk before mentioned complications arise. The latest research in the field of circulating, genetic and biomarker imaging have indicated their increasing significance and specificity. The fact is that no biomarker can be used in the assessment of risks isolated, but can only indicate patients at high risk. The so-called multi-marker approach is considered to be the most appropriate for clinical evaluation. It is believed that the new set of biomarkers will lead to the opportunities for their individual use.

## References

1. Jankovic R, Markovic D, Savic N, Dinic V. Beyond the limits: Clinical utility of novel cardiac biomarkers. *BioMed Res Int* 2015: Article ID 187384. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
2. The Joint Task Force on non-cardiac surgery: cardiovascular assessment and management of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA). 2014 ESC/ESA Guidelines on non-cardiac surgery: cardiovascular assessment and management. *European Heart Journal* 2014; 35: 2383-431. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Goldman L. Cardiac risk and complications of non-cardiac surgery. *Ann Surg* 1983; 98(6): 780-91. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, Lipsitz SR, Breizat AH, Dellinger EP *et al.* A surgical safetycheck list to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360: 491-9. [[Cross-Ref](#)] ([PubMed](#))
5. Sari M, Kilic H, Karakurt Ariturk O, Yazihan N, Akdemir R. Diabetic patients have increased perioperative cardiac risk in heart-type fatty acid-binding

- protein-based assessment. *Med Princ Pract* 2015; 24:53-7. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Upshaw J, Kieman MS. Preoperative cardiac risk assessment for noncardiac surgery in patients with heart failure. *Current Heart Failure Reports* 2013; 10(2): 147-56. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  7. Goldman L. Cardiac risk and complications of non-cardiac surgery. *Ann Intern Med* 1983; 98(4): 504-13. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  8. Goldman L, Caldera DL, Nussbaum SR, Southwick FS, Krogstad D, Murray B et al. Multifactorial index of cardiac risk in noncardiac surgical procedures. *N Engl J Med* 1977; 297: 845. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  9. Detsky AS, Abrams HB, McLaughlin JR, Drucker DJ, Sasson Z, Johnston N, Scott JG, Forbath N, Hilliard JR. Predicting cardiac complications in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *J Gen Intern Med* 1986; 1(4): 211-9. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  10. Detsky AS, Abrams HB, Forbath N, Scott JG, Hilliard JR. Cardiac assessment for patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Arch Intern Med* 1986; 146:2131. [[CrossRef](#)]
  11. Lee TH, Marcantonio ER, Mangione CM, Thomas EJ, Planczyk CA, Cook EF, Sugabaker DJ, Donaldson MC, Poss R, Ho KK, Ludwig LE, Pedan A, Goldman L. Derivation and prospective validation of a simple index for prediction of cardiac risk of major noncardiac surgery. *Circulation* 1999; 100(10): 1043-9. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  12. Bilimoria KY, Liu Y, Paruch JL, Zhou L, Kmieciak TE, Ko CY, Cohen ME. Development and Evaluation of the Universal ACS NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator: A Decision Aid and Informed Consent Tool for Patients and Surgeons. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2013; 217(5): 833-42. [[Cross-Ref](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  13. Gupta PK, Gupta H, Sundaram A, Kaushik M, Fang X, Miller WJ et al. Development and Validation of a Risk Calculator for Prediction of Cardiac Risk After Surgery. *Circulation* 2011; 124: 381-7. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  14. All BL, Hamilton BH, Richards K, Bilimoria KY, Cohen ME, Ko CY. Does surgical quality improve in the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program: an evaluation of all participating hospitals. *Ann Surg* 2009; 250(3): 363-76.
  15. Clerico A, Emdin M, Passino C. Cardiac biomarkers and risk assessment in patients undergoing major non-cardiac surgery: time to revise the guidelines? *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2014; 52(7): 959-63. [[Cross-Ref](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  16. Ikonomidis I, Michalakeas CA, Lekakis J, Paraskevidis I, Kremastinod DT. Multimarker approach in cardiovascular risk prediction. *Dis Markers* 2009; 25(5-6): 273-85. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  17. Penn MS, Klemes AB. Multimarker approach for identifying and documenting mitigation of cardiovascular risk. *Future Cardiol* 2013; 9(4): 497-506. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  18. Kalogeropoulos AP, Georgiopoulos VV, Butler J. Clinical adoption of prognostic biomarkers the case for heart failure. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2012; 55(1): 3-13. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  19. Hlatky MA, Greenland P, Arnett DK, Ballantyne CM, Criqui MH, Elkind MSV. Criteria for evaluation of novel biomarkers of cardiovascular risk; A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2009; 119(17): 2408-16. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  20. All BL, Hamilton BH, Richards K, Bilimoria KY, Cohen ME, Ko CY. Does surgical quality improve in the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program: an evaluation of all participating hospitals. *Ann Surg* 2009; 250(3): 363-76.
  21. Weber M, Luchner A, Seeberger M, Manfred S, Mueller C, Liebetrau C et al. Incremental value of high-sensitive troponinT in addition to the revised-cardiac index for peri-operative risk stratification in non-cardiac surgery. *Eur Heart J* 2013; 34: 853-62. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  22. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Simoons ML, Chaitman BR, White HD et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2012; 33: 2551-67. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  23. Van Waes JAR, Nathoe HM, de Graaff JC, Kemperman H, de Borst GJ, Peelen LM et al. Myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery and its association with short-term mortality. *Circulation* 2013; 127: 2264-71. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  24. Wang TJ, LarsonMG, Levy D, BenjaminEJ, Leip EP, Omland Tet al. Plasma natriuretic peptide levels and the risk of cardiovascular events and death. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 350: 655-63. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  25. Ge Y, Wang TJ. Identifying novel biomarkers for cardiovascular disease risk prediction. *J Intern Med* 2012; 272(5): 430-9. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  26. O'Donoghue M, de Lemos JA, Morrow DA, Murphy SA, Buross JL, Cannon CP, Sabatine MS. Prognostic utility of heart-type fatty acid binding protein in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation* 2006; 114(6): 550-7. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  27. Jankovic R, Markovic D. H-FABP as a novel cardiac biomarker: A new hope? *EC Anaesthesia* 2015: 195-6.
  28. Niizeki T, Takeishi Y, Arimoto T, Takabatake N, Nozaki N, Hirono O, Watanabe T, Nitobe J, Harada M, Suzuki S, Koyama Y, Kitahara T, Sasaki T, Kubota I. Heart-type fatty acidbinding protein is more sensitive than troponin T to detect the ongoing myocardial damage in chronic heart failure patients. *J Card Fail* 2007; 13(2): 120-7 [[CrossRef](#)]
  29. Gupta A, Shukla P, Ashwalayan VD. Clinical assessment of cardiovascular disorders by cardiac biomarkers. *Int J Pharm Sci Rev Res* 2014; 29(1): 87-94.
  30. Sari M, Kilic H, Karakurt Ariturk O, Yazihan N, Akdemir R. Diabetic patients have increased perioperative cardiac risk in heart-type fatty acid-binding protein-based assessment. *Med Princ Pract* 2015; 24:53-7. [[CrossRef](#)]
  31. Ta Willemsen R, Van Severen E, Vandervoort PM, Grieten L, Buntinx F, Glatz J Fz, Dinant GJ. Heart-type fatty acid binding protein (H-FABP) in patients in an emergency department setting, suspected of acute coronary syndrome: Optimal cut-off point, diagnostic value and future opportunities in primary care. *Eur J Gen Pract* 2015; 9:1-8. [[CrossRef](#)]
  32. Pelsers MM, Hermens WT, Glatz JF. Fatty acid-binding proteins as plasma markers of tissue injury. *Clin Chim Acta* 2005; 352(1-2): 15-35. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
  33. Ruzgar O, Bilge AK, Bugra Z, Umman S, Yilmaz E, Ozben B, Umman B, Meric M. The use of human heart-type fatty acid-binding protein as an early diagnostic biochemical marker of myocardial necrosis in patients with acute coronary syndrome, and its comparison with troponin- T and creatine kinase-myocardial band. *Heart Vessels* 2006; 21(5): 309-14. [[CrossRef](#)]

34. Mad P, omavovits H, Fazelnia C, Stiassny K, Russmuller G, Cseh A, Sodeck G, Binder T, Christ G, Szekeres T, Laggner A, Herkner H. Human heart-type fatty-acid-binding protein as a point-of-care in the early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. *QJM: An International Journal of Medicine* 2007; 100(4): 203-10. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Liao J, Chan CP, Cheung YC, Lu JH, Luo Y, Cauterley GW, Glatz JF, Renneberg R. Human heart-type fatty acid-binding protein for on-site diagnosis of early acute myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol* 2009; 133(3): 420-3. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
36. Iqbal N, Wentworth B, Choudhary R, de la Parra Landa A, Kipper B, Fard A Maisel AS. Cardiac biomarkers: New tools for heart failure management. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther* 2012; 2(2): 147-64. [[Cross-Ref](#)] ([PubMed](#))
37. Landman GWD, van Dijk PR, Drion J, van Hateren KJJ, Struck J, Groenier KH *et al.* Midregional fragment of proadrenomedullin, new-onset albuminuria, and cardiovascular and all cause mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes (ZODIAC-30). *Diabetes Care* 2014; 37: 839-45. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
38. Kaygısiz Z, Ozden H, Erkasap N, Koken T, Gunduz T, Ikizler M, Kural T. Effects of proadrenomedullin N-terminal 20 peptide and calcitonin on isolated perfused rat hearts. *Anadolu Kardiyol Derg* 2009; 9: 176-82. ([PubMed](#))
39. Tsuruda T, Kato J, Kitamura K, Kuwasako K, Imamura T, Koiwaya Y, Kangawa K, Eto T. Secretion of proadrenomedullin N-terminal 20 peptide from cultured neonatal rat cardiac cells. *Life Sciences* 2001; 69(2): 239-45. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
40. Eggers K, Venge P, Lindahl B, Lind L. Associations of mid-regional pro-adrenomedullin levels to cardiovascular and metabolic abnormalities, and mortality in an elderly population from the community. *Int J Cardiol* 2013; 168(4): 3537-42. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
41. Schnabel RB, Schulz A, Messow CM, Lubos E, Wild PS, Zeller T *et al.* Multiple marker approach to risk stratification in patients with stable coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J* 2010; 31(24): 3024-31. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
42. Maisel A, Mueller C, Nowak RM, Peacock WF, Ponikowski P, Mockel M *et al.* Midregion prohormone adrenomedullin and prognosis in patients presenting with acute dyspnea. *JACC* 2011; 58(10): 1057-67. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
43. Maisel A, Mueller C, Nowak R, *et al.* Mid-region prohormone markers for diagnosis and prognosis in acute dyspnea: results from the BACH (Biomarkers in Acute Heart Failure) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; 55:2062-76. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
44. Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicate that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. *Cell* 2005; 120: 15-20. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
45. Zhang C. MicroRNAs: role in cardiovascular biology and disease. *Clinical Science* 2008; 114: 699-706. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
46. Kim VN. Small RNAs: classification, biogenesis, and function. *Mol Cell* 2005; 19: 1-15. ([PubMed](#))
47. Hwang HW, Mendell JT. MicroRNAs in cell proliferation, cell death, and tumorigenesis. *Br J Cancer* 2006; 94: 776-80. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
48. Jovanovic M, Hengartner MO. miRNAs and apoptosis: RNAs to die for. *Oncogene* 2006; 25: 6176-87. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
49. Romaine SPR, Tomaszewski M, Condorelli G, Samani NJ. MicroRNAs in cardiovascular disease: an introduction for clinicians. *Heart* 2015; 921-8. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
50. Lovren D, Pan Y, Quan A, Singh KK, Shukla PC, Gupta N *et al.* Myocardial protection, perioperative management, and vascular biology; MicroRNA-145 targeted therapy reduces atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 2012; 126: 581-90.
51. Faraoni I, Antonetti FR, Vardone J, Bonmassar E. miR-155 gene: A typical multifunctional microRNA. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)- Molecular Basis of Disease* 2009; 1793(6): 497-505. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
52. Ji R, Cheng Y, Yue J, Yang J, Liu X, Chen H, Dean DB, Zhang C. MicroRNA expression signature and antisense-mediates depletion reveal an essential role of MicroRNA in vascular neointimal lesion formation. *Circ Res* 2007; 100(11): 1579-88. [[Cross-Ref](#)]
53. Devaux Y, Mueller M, Haaf P *et al.* Diagnostic and prognostic value of circulating microRNA in patients with acute chest pain. *J Intern Med* 2015; 277: 260-71. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
54. Wang GK, Zhu JQ, Zhang JT, Li Q, He J, Qin YW, Jing Q. Circulating microRNA: a novel potential biomarker for early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction in humans. *European Heart Journal* 2010; 31(6): 659-66. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
55. Li YQ, Zhang MF, Wen HY, Hu CL, Liu R, Wei HY, Ai CM, Wang G, Liao XX, Li X. Comparing the diagnostic values of circulating microRNAs and cardiac troponin T in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Clinics* 2013; 68(1): 75-80. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
56. Yao Y, Du J, Cao X, Wang Y, Huang Y, Hu S, Zheng Z. Plasma levels of microRNA-499 provide an early indication of perioperative myocardial infarction in coronary artery bypass graft patients. *PLoS One* 2014; 9(8): e104618. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Schunkert H, König IR, Kathiresan S *et al.* Large-scale association analysis identifies 13 new susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 2011; 43: 333-8. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))
58. Peden J, Hopewell J, Saleheen D *et al.* a genome-wide association study in Europeans and South Asians identifies five new loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 2011; 43: 339-44. [[CrossRef](#)] ([PubMed](#))

Revijalni rad

UDC: 616. 12-089.163:577.1  
doi: 10.5633/amm.2016.0112**ZNAČAJ BIOMARKERA U PREOPERATIVNOJ  
PROCENI KARDIOVASKULARNOG RIZIKA**

*Danica Marković*<sup>1</sup>, *Biljana Stošić*<sup>1,2</sup>, *Nenad Savić*<sup>1</sup>,  
*Ines Veseliņović*<sup>1</sup>, *Vesna Dinić*<sup>1</sup>, *Boris Djindjić*<sup>3</sup>,  
*Bojana Marković-Živković*<sup>4</sup>, *Marko Ristić*<sup>5</sup>, *Milena Stojanović*<sup>6</sup>

Centar za anesteziologiju i reanimatologiju, Klinički centar u Nišu, Niš, Srbija<sup>1</sup>  
Univerzitet u Nišu, Medicinski fakultet, Katedra za anesteziologiju i intenzivnu negu, Niš, Srbija<sup>2</sup>  
Univerzitet u Nišu, Medicinski fakultet, Institut za patofiziologiju, Niš, Srbija<sup>3</sup>  
Srednja medicinska škola 'dr Milenko Hadžić', Niš, Srbija<sup>4</sup>  
Univerzitet u Nišu, Medicinski fakultet, Niš, Srbija<sup>5</sup>  
Veterinarski zavod Subotica, Subotica, Srbija<sup>6</sup>

Kontakt: Danica Marković  
Josifa Pančića 6/50, 18000 Niš  
E-mail: danica-amm@medfak.ni.ac.rs

Preoperativna procena kardiovaskularnog rizika i pravovremena dijagnoza oštećenja miocita su od izuzetnog značaja u prevenciji postoperativnog morbiditeta i mortaliteta. Najnovije smernice propisane od strane European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Society of Anesthesiology (ESA) ističu značaj anesteziologa u multidisciplinarnom pristupu kao i centralnu ulogu biomarkera u preoperativnoj pripremi bolesnika. Pored standardne baterije biomarkera, koji se godinama unazad koriste za procenu kardiovaskularnog rizika, postoje novi biomarkeri koji obećavaju tačniju i specifičniju preoperativnu procenu. Acta Medica Medianae 2016;55(1):70-75.

**Ključne reči:** *biološki markeri, procena rizika, H-FABP, humani, MR-pro-ADM, humani, miRNK*

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) Licence